

DOI: https://doi.org/10.31686/ijier.vol11.iss9.4140

# From Aristotle to Newton's philosophical ideas

Georgios Kuriakou Secondary School Greece kuriakou@sch.gr

#### Abstract

Aristotle and Isaac Newton employed different methods in their respective areas of study. Observation vs. Experimentation: Aristotle's method relied heavily on observation of the natural world. He believed that knowledge could be acquired through careful observation and categorization of phenomena. Newton, on the other hand, emphasized experimentation as a critical component of scientific inquiry. He conducted experiments to test his theories and used mathematical equations to describe the behavior of physical systems. Deduction vs. Induction: Aristotle's method involved deductive reasoning, where he would start with general principles and derive specific conclusions. He used syllogistic logic and relied on the principles of validity and soundness. Newton, in contrast, employed inductive reasoning. He would observe specific instances and patterns and then formulate general laws or theories based on those observations. Theoretical vs. Mathematical: Aristotle's approach was more theoretical and philosophical in nature. He sought to understand the underlying principles and causes of natural phenomena. While he did use some mathematics, his focus was on conceptual understanding. Newton, on the other hand, heavily employed mathematics in his work. He developed mathematical equations and formulas to describe and predict the behavior of physical systems. Geocentric vs. Heliocentric: Aristotle's worldview was geocentric, meaning he believed that the Earth was at the center of the universe. This influenced his understanding of celestial bodies and their motions. Newton, however, embraced the heliocentric model proposed by Copernicus, which placed the Sun at the center of the solar system. This shift in perspective influenced Newton's understanding of celestial mechanics. Influence on Methodology: Aristotle's method of observation and deduction had a significant impact on scientific thinking in ancient and medieval times. His approach laid the foundation for natural philosophy and influenced scientific thought for centuries. Newton's method of experimentation and mathematical analysis revolutionized the field of physics and laid the groundwork for the scientific method as we know it today. While Aristotle and Newton employed different methods, both made significant contributions to their respective fields and advanced our understanding of the natural world. Their methods reflect the intellectual and technological contexts of their times and have shaped the way science is conducted and understood.

Keywords: Philosophy, Aristotle, Newton, Motion, Teleology

### Aristotle perspective of nature

Aristotle had a deep interest in the natural world and developed a comprehensive perspective on nature. Here are some key aspects of Aristotle's perspective on nature:

- Teleology: Aristotle believed that nature is purposeful and that everything in the natural world has a specific purpose or goal. He argued that objects and organisms have an inherent tendency to move towards their natural place or fulfill their purpose. For example, he believed that acorns have the purpose of becoming oak trees.
- Four Causes: Aristotle proposed a theory of causality that included four causes to explain why things exist or happen. These causes are the material cause (the substance or matter from which something is made), the formal cause (the form or structure that gives something its identity), the efficient cause (the external force or agent that brings about change), and the final cause (the end or purpose for which something exists).
- Hierarchy and Scale: Aristotle believed in a hierarchical view of nature, where different organisms and objects occupy different levels of complexity and perfection. He categorized living organisms into a scala naturae or "Great Chain of Being," with humans at the top. He also believed that elements like earth, water, air, and fire had different properties and occupied different places in the natural hierarchy.
- Observation and Empiricism: Aristotle emphasized the importance of careful observation and empirical data in understanding and studying nature. He believed that knowledge should be based on direct observation of the natural world and that scientific inquiry should involve gathering data and making generalizations based on these observations.
- Natural Philosophy: Aristotle's perspective on nature was part of his broader philosophy, which encompassed metaphysics, ethics, politics, and more. He viewed the study of nature as a means to understand the underlying principles and causes of the world and to gain knowledge about the purpose and order in the universe.

Aristotle's perspective on nature had a significant influence on Western thought for centuries and laid the foundation for fields such as biology, physics, and natural philosophy. While some of his ideas have been revised or replaced by modern scientific understanding, his emphasis on observation, causality, and purposeful nature still resonates in some areas of scientific inquiry today.

#### Newton's perspective of nature

#### From Aristotle to Newton's philosophical ideas

Newton's perspective on nature is primarily centered around his laws of motion and the concept of universal gravitation. Here are some key aspects of Newton's perspective on nature:

- Laws of Motion: Newton formulated three fundamental laws of motion that describe how objects move in response to forces. These laws are:
  - Newton's First Law of Motion (Law of Inertia): An object at rest stays at rest, and an object in motion stays in motion with the same speed and in the same direction unless acted upon by an external force.
  - $\circ$  Newton's Second Law of Motion: The acceleration of an object is directly proportional to the net force acting on it and inversely proportional to its mass. This law is expressed by the equation F = ma, where F represents force, m represents mass, and a represents acceleration.
  - Newton's Third Law of Motion: For every action, there is an equal and opposite reaction.
    This law states that when one object exerts a force on another object, the second object exerts an equal and opposite force on the first object.
- Universal Gravitation: Newton proposed the concept of universal gravitation, which states that every object in the universe attracts every other object with a force that is directly proportional to the product of their masses and inversely proportional to the square of the distance between them. This law is expressed by the equation  $F = G m_1 m_2 / r^2$ , where F represents the gravitational force, G represents the gravitational constant, m1 and m2 represent the masses of the objects, and r represents the distance between them.
- Mathematical Description: Newton's perspective on nature emphasized the use of mathematics to describe and predict natural phenomena. He developed calculus as a mathematical tool to solve complex problems related to motion and change.
- Determinism: Newton's perspective reflects a deterministic view of nature, where the future state of the universe is determined by its initial conditions and the laws of motion. He believed that the physical world operates according to fixed laws that can be understood and predicted.
- Mechanical Universe: Newton's perspective contributed to the development of a mechanistic view of the universe. He saw the universe as a vast, intricate machine operating according to precise rules. This mechanistic worldview influenced scientific thinking for centuries and helped pave the way for the scientific revolution.

Newton's perspective on nature revolutionized physics and laid the foundation for classical mechanics. His laws of motion and universal gravitation provided a mathematical framework

### Differences between Aristotle and Newton theories

Aristotle and Newton were both influential philosophers and scientists, but they lived in different time periods and had different areas of focus. Here are some key differences between their theories:

- Time period: Aristotle lived in ancient Greece around 384-322 BCE, while Newton lived in the 17th century (1643-1727 CE) during the Scientific Revolution.
- Scope of study: Aristotle's work covered a wide range of topics including philosophy, ethics, politics, and natural sciences. He had a more holistic approach to knowledge and believed in observing and categorizing natural phenomena. Newton, on the other hand, focused primarily on physics and mathematics, making groundbreaking contributions to the laws of motion, gravity, and calculus.
- Motion and mechanics: Aristotle's theory of motion was based on his observations of the natural world and his belief in the existence of four elements (earth, water, air, fire) and the fifth element called "ether" or "quintessence." He believed that objects moved towards their natural place and that heavier objects fell faster than lighter ones. Newton, on the other hand, formulated the laws of motion and gravity, which laid the foundation for classical mechanics. His laws described the relationship between forces, mass, and acceleration, and provided a mathematical framework for understanding motion.
- Causality: Aristotle's theory of causality included four causes material, formal, efficient, and final causes to explain why things happen. He believed that everything has a purpose or goal (final cause) and that objects are moved by external forces (efficient cause). Newton, on the other hand, focused on mechanical causes and described the relationship between forces and motion without invoking final causes.
- Scientific method: Aristotle's approach to scientific inquiry relied heavily on observation, description, and logical reasoning. He emphasized the importance of empirical data and inductive reasoning. Newton, on the other hand, developed a more rigorous approach to scientific investigation that involved formulating hypotheses, conducting experiments, and using mathematics to describe and predict natural phenomena.

Overall, Aristotle's theories were more philosophical and observational in nature, while Newton's theories were more mathematical and experimental. Newton's work had a profound impact on the development of modern physics and laid the groundwork for the scientific revolution that followed.

# **Did Aristotle influence Newton?**

Yes, Aristotle's ideas did have an influence on Newton. While Newton's work represented a significant departure from Aristotelian philosophy, there are several ways in which Aristotle's ideas influenced Newton's thinking:

- Causality: Aristotle's theory of causality, which included the concepts of efficient and final causes, influenced Newton's thinking on cause and effect. Newton, however, focused primarily on mechanical causes and did not incorporate final causes in his work.
- Empiricism: Aristotle's emphasis on empirical observation and careful study of the natural world influenced Newton's own approach to scientific inquiry. Newton relied on observation and experimentation to gather data and make generalizations about the laws of motion and gravity.
- Natural Philosophy: Aristotle's broader philosophy, encompassing metaphysics and natural philosophy, provided a foundation for Newton's work. Newton saw himself as continuing the tradition of natural philosophy, building upon the work of thinkers like Aristotle and others.
- Concept of Motion: Aristotle's ideas on motion, including his distinction between natural and violent motion, influenced Newton's thinking on the subject. Newton's laws of motion built upon and expanded Aristotle's understanding of motion, providing a more comprehensive and mathematically precise framework.

It is important to note, however, that while Aristotle's ideas influenced Newton, Newton's work represented a significant departure from Aristotelian philosophy and marked a major shift in scientific thinking during the Scientific Revolution. Newton's laws of motion and universal gravitation introduced a new understanding of the physical world and laid the foundation for modern physics.

## Aristotle and modern science

Aristotle's ideas have had a significant impact on the development of modern science, although many of his specific theories have been revised or replaced. Here are a few key ways in which Aristotle's ideas have influenced modern science:

- Methodology: Aristotle's emphasis on empirical observation and careful study of the natural world laid the foundation for the scientific method. His approach of gathering data through observation and experimentation influenced the development of systematic and evidence-based scientific inquiry.
- Causality: Aristotle's theory of causality, particularly his distinction between material, formal, efficient, and final causes, influenced the development of causal thinking in science. Although

modern science tends to focus more on efficient and material causes, Aristotle's ideas on causality provided a basis for understanding cause and effect relationships.

- Taxonomy and Classification: Aristotle's interest in categorizing and classifying natural phenomena, particularly in his work on biology, laid the foundation for modern taxonomy and classification systems. His ideas on categorization and hierarchical organization can be seen in the Linnaean system of biological classification.
- Teleology and Purpose: Aristotle's emphasis on purpose and goal-directed behavior in nature has influenced certain areas of modern science. For example, the concept of adaptation and purpose in natural processes is explored in fields such as evolutionary biology.
- Influence on Fields of Study: Aristotle's work in various scientific disciplines, including physics, biology, and metaphysics, helped establish the foundations of modern science. His systematic approach and writings on these subjects influenced the development of various scientific fields and provided a starting point for further exploration and understanding.

It is important to note that while Aristotle made significant contributions to the development of scientific thinking, modern science has also evolved and moved beyond some of his specific ideas. The scientific method, advancements in technology, and the accumulation of empirical evidence have led to significant revisions and expansions of scientific knowledge since Aristotle's time. Nonetheless, his ideas continue to have an enduring impact on the way we approach and understand the natural world.

## How Aristotle physics and metaphysics influenced the modern Physics

Aristotle's physics and metaphysics had a profound influence on the development of modern physics, although many of his specific theories have been revised or replaced. Here are a few ways in which Aristotle's ideas have influenced modern physics:

- Concept of Motion: Aristotle's ideas on motion, including his distinction between natural and violent motion, influenced the development of modern theories of motion. While Aristotle's understanding of motion was more qualitative and based on observation, his ideas laid the foundation for the quantitative and mathematical descriptions of motion developed by scientists like Galileo and Newton.
- Causality and Teleology: Aristotle's emphasis on causality, particularly his concept of final causes or purposes, influenced the development of modern physics. While physics today tends to focus more on efficient and material causes rather than final causes, Aristotle's ideas on causality provided a

basis for understanding cause and effect relationships and the goal-directed behavior of natural phenomena.

- Cosmos and Celestial Bodies: Aristotle's work on cosmology and his belief in a geocentric universe had a significant influence on the development of astronomy and our understanding of celestial bodies. Although his model of the universe was later replaced by the heliocentric model proposed by Copernicus and refined by Kepler and Galileo, Aristotle's ideas and observations about the nature and behavior of celestial bodies helped shape the foundations of modern astronomy.
- Concept of Elements and Natural Philosophy: Aristotle's ideas on the elements (earth, water, air, and fire) and his broader philosophy of natural philosophy influenced the development of modern physics. While the concept of elements has been replaced by our current understanding of atoms and subatomic particles, Aristotle's ideas on the nature of matter and his emphasis on empirical observation and systematic study provided a foundation for the scientific inquiry that followed.
- Metaphysical Foundations: Aristotle's metaphysical ideas, particularly his notions of substance, potentiality, and actuality, have influenced the philosophical underpinnings of modern physics. Concepts such as energy, potential energy, and the transformation of states in physics can be traced back to Aristotle's metaphysical concepts.

It is important to note that while Aristotle's ideas laid the groundwork for modern physics, significant advancements and revisions have been made since his time. The scientific method, advancements in technology, and the accumulation of empirical evidence have led to significant expansions and revisions of scientific knowledge. Nonetheless, Aristotle's ideas continue to have an enduring impact on the way we approach and understand the physical world.

## References

Aristotle. 1930. Physics, trans. R. P. Hardie and R. K. Gaye. Oxford: Clarendon Press.Ashley, Benedict. 2006. The Way toward Wisdom. Notre Dame, IN: University of Notre Dame Press.DeWitt, Richard. 2004. Worldviews: An Introduction to the History and Philosophy of Science. Oxford: Blackwell.

Eddington, Arthur. 1963. The Nature of the Physical World. Ann Arbor: The University of Michigan Press. Wallace, William A. 1983. "Cosmological Arguments and Scientific Concepts." In William A. Wallace, From a Realist Point of View: Essays on the Philosophy of Science, Second edition. Lanham, MD: University Press of America.

Bedau, Hugo. 1996. Thinking and Writing about Philosophy. Boston: Bedford Books of St. Martin's Press. Earle, William James. 1992. Introduction to Philosophy. New York: McGraw-Hill Inc. <u>Aristoteles</u>. *Gazetteer of Planetary Nomenclature. United States Geological Survey*.

#### From Aristotle to Newton's philosophical ideas

Magee, Bryan. 2010. The Story of Philosophy. Dorling Kindersley. ISBN 978-0-241-24126-4. Mason, Stephen F. 1979. A History of the Sciences. Collier Books. ISBN 978-0-02-093400-4. OCLC 924760574.