

DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.31686/ijier.vol12.iss4.4234</u>

Stress and Work Performance among Administration Police Officers at Police headquarters in Nairobi, Kenya

Centrine Sophy Wasike^{1,*} (<u>centiewasike@gmail.com)¹</u>,*, Mokua Gilbert Maroko²

(marokomokua@gmail.com)

¹ Department of Psychology, Mount Kenya University, Kenya ² Department of Psychology, Mount Kenya University, Kenya

Abstract

This study investigated the relationship between stress and work performance among Administration Police Officers stationed at the Nairobi Police Headquarters in Kenya. Employing a descriptive research design, the study was underpinned by Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs and the Cognitive Activation Theory of Stress theories, facilitating an analysis of psychological distress and its effects on occupational efficacy. The participants were randomly selected in a sample size of 169 respondents. Data was collected primarily using structured questionnaires. Findings reveal a significant concern regarding work-related stress, with 35.5% of officers reporting fatigue, 32.0% expressing dissatisfaction with work allocation, and 34.3% indicating inadequate time for personal activities. Notably, 39.6% attributed their diminished performance to work overload, while correlation analysis identified a weak positive relationship between work stress and performance (r = .164, p= .033), suggesting that higher levels of stress may marginally correspond with improved performance. These findings highlight an urgent need for strategic interventions within the national police force, including comprehensive wellness programs focused on stress management and enhanced communication strategies.

Keywords: Administration Police; psychological factors; work performance; stress management; wellness programs

1. Introduction

Administration Police Officers at Police Headquarters in Nairobi, Kenya, operate in an environment characterized by unique challenges and demands, which can significantly influence their work performance. Stressors such as long working hours, exposure to traumatic incidents, and the need for quick decision-making can lead to heightened levels of stress, potentially impacting officers' performance. Work performance is a critical issue in organizations that has attracted substantial attention from researchers and scholars worldwide. It is defined as the action of successfully completing assigned tasks, determined by the reasonable utilization of available resources (Ahmad et al., 2018). Several factors, including psychological issues, can significantly affect work performance. Psychological factors influence the mental health and emotional intelligence of employees, which, in turn, impacts their performance. These effects may be either positive or negative, depending on whether the psychological factors are progressive or adverse. For example, police officers are

particularly affected by stress, anger, anxiety, and sleep deprivation (lack of sufficient sleep). Iskamto (2021) found that workplace stress can lead to feelings of fear, anxiety, and anger, resulting in poor mental and psychological health.

Researchers have identified various psychological factors contributing to increased pressure and stress among employees, viewing stress as an environmental stimulus. Numerous reasons can cause stress within organizations, with family conflicts and work overload being two of the most significant contributors (Iskamto, 2021). Iskamto (2021) further argues that factors such as role ambiguity, heavy workloads, poor work environments, and insufficient job-related knowledge escalate stress and pressure among employees. Consequently, work stress can stem from a working environment in which individuals do not feel secure. Shane (2010) emphasizes that organizational issues are primary contributors to work stress among police officers. Yahaya et al. (2019) contend that the work environment plays a crucial role in the stress employees experience within organizations. Work stress is prevalent in companies of all sizes and remains one of the leading psychological factors affecting police officers globally.

Management support is essential in addressing varying levels of stress among employees. Panigrahi (2016) posits that management support should focus on providing assistance that effectively reduces job-related stress among workers. A lack of organizational recognition for employees' exemplary work can exacerbate stress levels, leading to intentions to leave the organization (Panigrahi, 2016). Altidang (2020) explored the relationship between management, employees, stress, and work performance, finding a negative linear association between stress and work performance, with management style playing a significant role in this dynamic. Thus, organizational management has a considerable impact on creating stress among employees, indicating that the responsibility for alleviating this condition lies with them.

Stress has substantial impacts on workforce performance, prompting firms to implement strategies to address this condition. Numerous studies found that work stress adversely affects employees' job satisfaction and overall performance. Ismail et al. (2015) conducted an investigation in a large private investment bank in Peninsular Malaysia, revealing that work-related stress significantly impacts work performance. The findings indicated a correlation between high stress levels and poor work performance among employees.

Moreover, stress has the potential to cause absenteeism and elevated turnover rates. Daniel (2020) examined the implications of stress on workforce performance, suggesting that employees may create challenges for management if leaving a stressful job is not feasible. These challenges include inefficiencies in job performance, hindrances for subordinates, and waste of operational resources, among others. The author asserts that factors linked to poor work performance or negative outcomes among employees affect both physical and psychological well-being, thereby exacerbating stress levels. Employees enduring stressful organizational performance. Research indicates that moderate levels of stress can enhance job performance and productivity (Daniel, 2020). However, when work stress exceeds certain thresholds, performance declines more significantly. Poor work performance is observed among employees experiencing extremely low or high stress levels. Those under high stress frequently seem to lack motivation and enthusiasm, resulting in reduced productivity. In such instances, employees are inclined to escape the stressful environment, leading to

diminished concentration on their tasks.

2. Statement of the Problem

A complete operational police system plays a crucial role in maintaining peace, protecting property, ensuring laws are followed, and providing security in a country. The police service in Kenya experiences numerous problems, which are related to stress that immensely affect work performance. Workplace stress has caused police officers to record high rates of reduced job performance among the police officers in Kenya (Jonyo, 2015). Studies have been done especially on psychological factors and the work performance across varied career worker, however, few have targeted the police profession. This study aimed at filling this gap by investigating the effects of stress on work performance among administration police officers working at Police Headquarters in Nairobi, Kenya.

3. Methodology

The research was conducted at Jogoo House, the headquarters of the National Police Service in Nairobi, the capital and most populous city in Kenya. Nairobi, with a population of approximately 4.4 million (and a metropolitan total of around 9.4 million), faces significant security challenges due to its high population density and diverse criminal activities stemming from economic pressures. Given these challenges, the study focused particularly on the police service under the Ministry of Interior and Coordination of National Government, as employees in this department experience various psychological stressors. The study employed a descriptive research design. A random selection of 169 administration officers participated in the study, guided by Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs and Hans Selye's Cognitive Activation Theory of Stress. Data collection involved structured questionnaires, and the analysis was conducted both descriptively and inferentially, utilizing counts, percentages, and Pearson correlations. Ethical considerations were paramount throughout the research, ensuring no conflicts of interest, particularly regarding the use of data for monetary gain. Confidentiality, anonymity, and informed consent were rigorously maintained. Prior to the research, the Nairobi County Government and police service authorities were informed to facilitate a transparent process and prevent community resistance.

4. Findings and Discussion

Administration Police Officers at Police headquarters Nairobi Kenya. Administration Police Officers at Police Headquarters in Nairobi, Kenya, operate in an environment characterized by unique challenges and demands, which can significantly influence their work performance. Stressors such as long working hours, exposure to traumatic incidents, and the need for quick decision-making can lead to heightened levels of stress, potentially impacting officers' performance. The results on the responses to stressors are presented in Table 1.

Stressor	Stro	ngly	Disa	ıgree	Wea	ıkly	Agr	ee	Stro	ngly	Mi	ssing	Total	
statement	disagree				agree				agree					
	f	%	f	%	f	%	f	%	f	%	f	%	f	%
You are satisfied	9	5.3	11	6.5	37	21.9	71	42.0	38	22.5	3	1.8	169	100.0
with the amount														
of work assigned														
to you														
The amount of	12	7.1	22	13.0	29	17.2	56	33.1	45	26.6	5	3.0	169	100.0
work allocated to														
you is														
appropriate.														
The targets given	10	5.9	23	13.6	49	29.0	56	33.1	30	17.8	1	.6	169	100.0
to me are														
achievable.														
You have time to	30	17.8	15	8.9	25	14.8	53	31.4	44	26.0	2	1.2	169	100.0
bond with your														
family.														
You get enough	20	11.8	14	8.3	36	21.3	59	34.9	31	18.3	9	5.3	169	100.0
time to relax and														
rest after work.														
You have a good	23	13.6	17	10.1	25	14.8	59	34.9	37	21.9	8	4.7	169	100.0
life balance.														
You experience	4	2.4	17	10.1	36	21.3	60	35.5	43	25.4	9	5.3	169	100.0
fatigue often.														
You work	14	8.3	24	14.2	30	17.8	67	39.6	30	17.8	4	2.4	169	100.0
manageable														
number of hours.														
You have enough	54	32.0	64	37.9	28	16.6	11	6.5	7	4.1	5	3.0	169	100.0
time to complete														
the work														
assigned to you.														
Your job makes	37	21.9	51	30.2	40	23.7	15	8.9	22	13.0	4	2.4	169	100.0
you feel stressed.														
You feel	27	16.0	61	36.1	31	18.3	27	16.0	18	10.7	5	3.0	169	100.0
overwhelmed														
due to being														

Table 1 Responses to Stressors at the Work Place

given too many	
responsibilities.	
Work 45 26.6 67 39.6 10 5.9 14 8.3 29 17.2 4 2.4 169	100.0
performance of	
police officers	
has been	
negatively	
influenced by	
work overload-	
related stress.	
Stress resulting 32 18.9 58 34.3 24 14.2 17 10.1 33 19.5 5 3.0 169	100.0
from working	
under stress has	
negatively	
affected work	
performance	
among police	
officers.	
Working under 27 16.0 48 28.4 31 18.3 13 7.7 45 26.6 5 3.0 169	100.0
pressure has been	
a leading cause	
of stress among	
police officers.	
Work stress has 40 23.7 57 33.7 18 10.7 17 10.1 32 18.9 5 3.0 169	100.0
affected the	
overall	
performance of	
the police service	
department.	

Table 1 reveals various stressors concerning work conditions experienced by respondents. When asked about satisfaction with the amount of work assigned, responses were varied, with 42.0% agreeing and only 5.3% strongly disagreeing. Regarding the appropriateness of work allocation, 26.6% strongly agreed while 7.1% strongly disagreed. The perception of achievable targets showed a split response, with 33.1% agreeing and 5.9% strongly disagreeing. Responses about having time to bond with family indicated a significant level of concern, with 17.8% strongly disagreeing and only 26.0% strongly agreeing. The ability to relax post-work indicated that 34.9% agreed they had enough time, yet 11.8% strongly disagreed. When considering life

balance, the responses were moderately positive, with 34.9% agreeing and a notable 13.6% strongly disagreeing. A substantial number, 35.5%, agreed they often experience fatigue, while only 2.4% strongly disagreed. The opinion on manageable working hours was mixed; 39.6% agreed while 8.3% strongly disagreed. A striking 32.0% strongly disagreed that they have enough time to complete their work, suggesting a major concern in time management. Stress relating to the job was significant, with 21.9% strongly agreeing they feel stressed and 30.2% disagreeing. Most respondents felt overwhelmed by responsibilities, with 36.1% disagreeing and only 10.7% strongly agreeing. The belief that work overload negatively influences performance was pronounced, with 39.6% disagreeing and only 17.2% strongly agreeing. Concerns were raised that stress from work affects overall performance, with 34.3% disagreeing and only 10.1% strongly agreeing. Lastly, 26.6% strongly agreed that working under pressure has been a leading cause of stress, while 16.0% strongly disagreed.

The findings from these responses reveal a complex landscape of employee perfomance and stress within the workplace. In examining the level of satisfaction with work assignments, a moderate percentage of respondents (42.0%) expressed overall agreement, but the presence of only 5.3% strongly disagreeing indicates limited but significant dissatisfaction. This suggests that while many feel their workload may be manageable, there is still a notable minority that feels overwhelmed. This sentiment correlates with Iskamto's (2021) assertions regarding the contributions of work overload to workplace stress.

The appropriateness of work allocation showed a positive response from 26.6% of participants who strongly agreed, contrasting with 7.1% who disagreed. Such perceptions of fairness in workload distribution are crucial, as highlighted in the literature, where poor work allocation is a source of stress (Iskamto, 2021).

When discussing achievable targets, responses were split, with 33.1% agreeing and 5.9% strongly disagreeing, reflecting a tension between expectation and reality that could exacerbate workplace pressure. This aligns with Shane's (2010) argument that unrealistic demands often contribute to stress in employees.

Concerns about family time were evident, with 17.8% of respondents strongly disagreeing that they have enough, indicating a potential conflict between work responsibilities and personal lives. This resonates with the literature, which cites family conflicts as significant contributors to stress (Iskamto, 2021).

Similarly, the ability to relax after work garnered mixed responses, with 34.9% affirming sufficient downtime, yet 11.8% strongly disagreed. This highlights a possible disconnect between work responsibilities and personal recovery time, a factor that Daniel (2020) notes can lead to increased stress levels.

The findings also underscore significant fatigue, with 35.5% agreeing that they often experience it, suggesting an ongoing challenge for many employees. The mixed opinions on life balance—34.9% agreeing with 13.6% strongly disagreeing—indicate that while some employees feel they maintain a healthy balance, a notable portion does not, aligning with Panigrahi's (2016) findings that poor organizational support exacerbates employee stress.

Respondents showed a concerning degree of stress related to their jobs, with 21.9% strongly agreeing they often feel stressed. This confirms the literature's stance on the harmful impacts of stress on performance and overall well-being (Daniel, 2020; Yahaya et al., 2019).

The findings on work overload and feelings of being overwhelmed suggest a disconnect between workload

expectations and actual capacity, with 32.0% strongly disagreeing that they have enough time to complete their work, indicating serious time management issues. This ties back into existing research that outlines how excessive workloads can lead to decreased performance and job satisfaction.

The high percentage of respondents (39.6%) who disagree that work overload affects their performance versus the 17.2% who agreed reveals a significant divide in perception, suggesting that many may not recognize the extent to which their stress impacts their efficacy. Stress from work, as indicated by 34.3% of respondents, poses a critical barrier to optimal performance, corroborating findings from Ismail et al. (2015) regarding the detrimental effects of stress on job performance.

Lastly, the acknowledgment that working under pressure contributes substantially to stress (26.6% strongly agreeing, 16.0% strongly disagreeing) highlights a critical area for intervention by management, as emphasized by Panigrahi (2016). This suggests that organizational leaders must actively address workload distribution, employee morale, and stress management to mitigate these issues and enhance overall workforce performance.

A descriptive analysis was done to determine how indicators of work stress relate with work performance among the respondents and Table 2 shows the results.

					Work performance			
				-	Job	Increased	Declined work	-
					dissatisfaction	turnover	performance	Total
Work	Stressful	working	Count		31	54	7	92
stress	environment		% within	Work	33.7%	58.7%	7.6%	100.0%
			stress					
	Overload		Count		23	34	20	77
			% within	Work	29.9%	44.2%	26.0%	100.0%
			stress					
Total			Count		54	88	27	169
			% within	Work	32.0%	52.1%	16.0%	100.0%
			stress					

Table 2 Work Stress and Work Performance

Table 2 shows that among respondents who reported their working environment is stressful, 31 (33.7%) were not satisfied with their job, 54 (58.7%) reported increased turnover such as resignation and transfers, and 7 (7.6%) reported declined work performance due to lack of motivation. Of respondents who complained of overload of duties, 23 (29.9%) were not satisfied with their job, 34 (44.2%) reported increased turnover such as resignation and transfers, and 20 (26.0%) reported declined work performance due to lack of motivation. In summary, due to work stress, 54 (32.0%) respondents were not satisfied with their job, 88 (52.1%) reported increased turnover such as resignation and transfers, and 27 (16.0%) reported declined work performance due to lack of motivation.

The findings from the study indicate a significant relationship between work-related stress and various

negative outcomes for employees, such as job dissatisfaction, increased turnover, and decreased work performance. Specifically, a substantial proportion of respondents who described their working environment as stressful reported being dissatisfied with their jobs, with about 33.7% expressing discontent, while nearly 58.7% indicated they were considering resignations or transfers. Furthermore, a notable 7.6% of respondents acknowledged declining work performance, attributing it to a lack of motivation stemming from stress.

These results resonate strongly with the reviewed literature, which underscores that psychological factors, including work overload and environmental stressors, are major contributors to employee pressure and dissatisfaction. Iskamto (2021) highlights that issues such as unclear role definitions, excessive workloads, and inadequate support exacerbate stress levels within organizations, leading to feelings of insecurity among workers. This is particularly significant in high-stress professions like law enforcement, as noted by Shane (2010) and Yahaya et al. (2019), who assert that organizational environments are pivotal in determining employee stress levels.

Additionally, the findings align with the assertion by Panigrahi (2016) that the lack of management support can intensify stress, resulting in employees feeling undervalued, which, in turn, contributes to turnover intentions. The empirical evidence presented by Altidang (2020) complements these observations, indicating a negative correlation between stress and work performance. This suggests that, as stress levels rise, employee performance typically declines - a theme echoed in multiple studies, including those by Ismail et al. (2015) and Daniel (2020).

The overarching narrative presents a clear picture: work-related stress not only diminishes job satisfaction but also propels employees towards turnover and reduces their motivation and performance. The cumulative findings emphasize the critical role of managerial practices and supportive work environments in mitigating stress levels. Addressing employee stress through effective management strategies could enhance job satisfaction, reduce turnover, and bolster overall performance—underscoring that while moderate stress can drive productivity, excessive stress leads to detrimental outcomes for both employees and organizations. To ascertain the significance of these findings, a Pearson correlation was performed at 0.05 significance level

and the results are shown in Table 3.

			Work	
		Work stress	performance	
Work stress	Pearson Correlation	1	.164*	
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.033	
	Ν	169	169	
Work performance	Pearson Correlation	.164*	1	
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.033		
	Ν	169	169	

*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

Table 3 indicates a statistically significant positive correlation between work stress and work performance (r = .164, n = 169, p = .033). This suggests that as work stress increases, work performance tends to improve, albeit to a modest degree. The correlation coefficient of .164 suggests a weak positive relationship between the two variables. This correlation concludes that there is a significant association between work stress and work performance.

5. Conclusion

The study underscores the detrimental impact of workplace stress, characterized by long working hours, exposure to traumatic incidents, and the pressure to meet demanding targets. Officers reported feelings of overwhelm, fatigue, and significant dissatisfaction related to their workload and work-life balance. This overwhelming pressure correlates directly with diminished work performance, increased turnover intentions, and overall job dissatisfaction.

6. Acknowledgement

The research was presented at the Department of Psychology at Mount Kenya University, Kenya in partial fulfillment for the requirements for the award of a Master of Arts degree in Counselling Psychology.

7. References

- Ahmad, Z., Aida, R.Z., Ibrahim, R., & Bakar, A. (2018). Factors influencing job performance among police personnel: An empirical study in Selangor. *Management Science Letters* 8, 939-950. DOI: <u>10.5267/j.msl.2018.6.014</u>
- Altindag, O. (2020). Relationship between stress management and job performance in organizations. *Research in Business and Social Science*, 9(2), 43-49. https://doi.org/10.20525/ijrbs.v9i2.636
- Daniel, C.O. (2020). Effects of job stress on employee's performance. *International Journal of Business, Management and Social Research*, 6(2), 375-382.
 Research, 06(02), 375-382.
 https://doi.org/10.18801/ijbmsr.060219.40
- Iskamto, D. (2021). Stress and its impact on employee performance. *International Journal of Social and Management Studies*, 2(3), 142-148. https://doi.org/10.5555/ijosmas.v2i3.42
- Ismail, A. et al. (2015). Effect of workplace stress on job performance. *Journal of Economics and Business*, 13(1), 45-57. https://hdl.handle.net/10419/193846
- Jonyo, E.O. (2015). Effects of occupational stresses on work performance of police officers in Nakuru police division, Kenya. *Journal of computer engineering*, *17*(6), 61-88.
 DOI: 10.9790/0661-17646188
- 7. Panigrahi, A. (2017). Managing stress at workplace. Journal of Management Research and Analysis,

3(4), 154-160.

Panigrahi, Ashok, Managing Stress at Workplace (2016). Journal of Management Research and Analysis, October-December, 2016; 3(4): 154-160, Available at SS <u>https://ssrn.com/abstract=2912833</u>

- 8. Shane, J. M. (2010). Organizational Stressors and Police Performance. Journal of Criminal Justice, 38(4), 807–818.
- Yahaya, A., Maakip, I., Voo, P. et al. (2019). The effects of occupational stress on the management of an organization. *International Journal of Asian Social Science*, 9(2), 248-255. DOI: 10.18488/journal.1.2019.92.248.255