

Navigating the Fake News Environment: Enhancing Media Literacy in the Digital Age

Tiegue Vieira Rodrigues

Federal University of Santa Maria, Brazil

Abstract

The proliferation of fake news in our time presents a major challenge to public discourse and informed decision-making. As social media platforms expose individuals to vast amounts of information, distinguishing between credible content and misinformation has become increasingly difficult. This paper examines the mechanisms that facilitate the spread of fake news, including clickbait headlines and emotional manipulation, which exploit cognitive biases and contribute to the virality of misleading narratives. Emphasizing the urgent need for enhanced media literacy, the study advocates for educational initiatives that equip individuals with critical thinking skills to assess information sources effectively. By fostering media literacy, societies can strengthen resilience against misinformation, promote trust in reliable sources, and support evidence-based decision-making in an increasingly complex information landscape.

Keywords: Fake News; Media Literacy; Misinformation; Digital Media; Critical Thinking.

1. Introduction

We're in a time where information is readily accessible. Every moment, social media floods us with millions of posts, articles, and videos that mold our opinions, actions, and perceptions of what's real. However, with this flood of information comes a serious problem: not everything we read or watch is true (Giordani et al., 2021; Nasery et al., 2023).

Fake news and misinformation have become powerful forces in today's world, influencing elections, public health decisions, and even the way we interact with one another (Lazer et al., 2018). Studies have shown that false news spreads faster and more widely than true news, primarily because it is often designed to be shocking, emotionally compelling, or simply more entertaining than the truth (Vosoughi et al., 2018). A well-known study by MIT researchers found that false stories on Twitter were 70% more likely to be retweeted than true ones (Friggeri et al., 2014). This is because fake news is crafted to evoke strong emotions—fear, anger, or outrage—which encourages people to share it without verifying its accuracy (Greenhill & Oppenheim, 2017).

Take the example of the 2016 U.S. presidential election, where false stories like “Pope Endorses Donald Trump” spread widely and gained significant attention, even though they were completely untrue. Or take the COVID-19 pandemic, during which misinformation about fake cures—such as drinking bleach or taking unapproved medications—led some individuals to make dangerous health choices (Barreto et al., 2021). In

India, viral WhatsApp messages spreading false rumors about child kidnappers led to mob violence and tragic deaths (Pasquetto et al., 2022). These examples illustrate that misinformation isn't just an online annoyance—it has real, sometimes deadly, consequences (Ferreira Caceres et al., 2022).

What steps can we take to safeguard ourselves and others from being misled by misinformation? The key lies in media literacy—the ability to critically evaluate the information we consume and separate fact from fiction (Yildiz & Keengwe, 2016). Unfortunately, many people have never been trained to question the credibility of their news sources (McGrew et al., 2018). In an age where deepfake videos can make it appear as if world leaders are saying things they never said, and AI-generated images can depict events that never happened, developing media literacy is no longer optional—it's essential (Rainie, 2017).

In this article, we'll explore the concept of media literacy, its importance, and how to cultivate the skills needed to identify and counter fake news. By the end, you'll have practical strategies to navigate the modern media landscape with confidence.

2. What is Media Literacy?

In a world where information is constantly flowing from multiple sources—news websites, social media platforms, blogs, videos, and even memes—media literacy is the skill that allows us to critically engage with the content we consume (Hobbs, 2010; Yildiz & Keengwe, 2016) (Levine, 2021; Hobbs, 2017). But what does it truly mean to be “media literate”? At its essence, media literacy is the skill of critically analyzing, assessing, and producing media across different formats. It involves more than just reading a news article or watching a video – It requires deeper understanding and evaluation. It involves questioning who created the content, what their intentions are, how reliable the information is, and whether it is based on facts or emotional manipulation (Bakir & McStay, 2018). It also includes understanding how media industries operate, how social media algorithms influence what we see, and how biases – both personal and institutional—affect the way information is presented (Bulger & Davison, 2018).

To break it down further, media literacy involves several interconnected skills. Recognizing bias and perspective is one of these key skills. Every piece of media is created from a particular perspective, whether it's a news article, a documentary, or a social media post. A media-literate person learns to identify bias in reporting, advertisements, and even entertainment (van der Linden et al., 2020) . For example, imagine two news articles reporting on the same protest. One describes it as a “peaceful demonstration,” while other calls it a “violent riot.” The wording alone influences how the reader perceives the event. A media-literate reader would recognize the framing techniques used by each outlet (Entman, 2007).

Another crucial aspect of media literacy is distinguishing facts from opinions. News articles often mix facts (verifiable truths) with opinions (interpretations or personal viewpoints) (Lazer et al., 2018). Media literacy involves identifying when a statement is a fact backed by evidence versus an opinion meant to persuade. For instance, one stating “Global temperatures have risen by 1.2°C since the pre-industrial era” is a fact that can be verified with scientific data (IPCC, 2018). However, saying “Climate change is the biggest threat to humanity” is an opinion, even if widely supported.

Understanding the role of social media algorithms is another important skill in media literacy. Social media platforms do not show users information in a neutral way. Instead, algorithms prioritize content based on engagement, personal preferences, and sometimes even political leanings (Bakshy et al., 2015). This creates filter bubbles, where people are only exposed to information that reinforces their existing beliefs. For example, if you frequently like and share conspiracy theories, platforms like Facebook or YouTube will start showing you more similar content, reinforcing misinformation and making it harder to encounter diverse viewpoints (Pariser, 2011).

Checking the source and verifying information is a critical skill in media literacy. Some questions to ask when evaluating content include: Who wrote or published this content? What are their qualifications? Do they cite reputable sources? Is the information confirmed by multiple independent sources? For instance, if a viral tweet claims, “Eating garlic prevents COVID-19!” without verification, thousands of people may share it. A media-literate person would check official health organizations like the World Health Organization (WHO) or the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) before believing or sharing such claims.¹

Recognizing misinformation and disinformation is another crucial aspect of media literacy. Misinformation refers to false or misleading information shared unintentionally, while disinformation is deliberately created to deceive people (Gelfert, 2018). Both can be harmful, but disinformation is often used to manipulate public opinion. For example, a family member shares a fake news article claiming that a celebrity has died. If they genuinely believe it and share it without checking, it’s misinformation. However, if someone creates the article to gain clicks and spread falsehoods, it’s disinformation.

Understanding how visual media can mislead is also part of media literacy. Photos, videos, and graphics can be altered, taken out of context, or used in misleading ways. The rise of AI-generated images and deepfake technology has made it even harder to trust visual evidence (Chesney, Bobby; Citron, Danielle, 2019). For example, a widely shared image supposedly shows a politician sleeping during an important meeting. A deeper analysis reveals that the image was edited—taken from an entirely different event years earlier. A media-literate person would investigate before forming an opinion based on the image (Vaccari & Chadwick, 2020).

The digital age has made it easier than ever for falsehoods to spread. In the past, news was primarily delivered by professional journalists trained in ethical reporting. Today, anyone can publish anything online, reaching thousands or even millions of people—whether the information is true or false (Gelfert, 2018). Fake news is no longer limited to fringe conspiracy websites. Even mainstream platforms like Facebook, Twitter, and YouTube struggle to combat misinformation (Pennycook & Rand, 2019). A single misleading tweet can go viral within minutes, and corrections rarely spread as widely as the original falsehood (Vosoughi et al., 2018).

In the face of this challenge, media literacy is one of the most powerful tools individuals can develop. When people learn to analyze and verify information critically, they become less vulnerable to manipulation and propaganda (Lewandowsky et al., 2017). A well-informed public is the foundation of a healthy democracy, a functioning society, and meaningful discussions about important issues (Bennett & Livingston,

¹ (COVID-19 Mythbusters – World Health Organization, n.d.)

2018). Media literacy isn't something you learn once and master forever—it's a skill that requires constant attention and adaptation. New types of misinformation emerge all the time, and bad actors develop more sophisticated ways to deceive audiences. That's why it's important to stay curious, ask questions, and develop habits of skepticism without falling into cynicism. Not all media is bad or misleading, but critical thinking is essential for distinguishing truth from falsehood.

In the next section, we'll explore why media literacy is crucial for individuals and society as a whole—and how failing to develop these skills can have dangerous consequences (Lazer et al., 2018). In an era where information spreads faster than ever, media literacy is not just an academic skill—it is a survival skill. The ability to critically assess news, videos, and social media posts is crucial because misinformation is no longer just an occasional annoyance; it has real-world consequences. Fake news and misleading information have influenced elections, public health decisions, financial markets, and even acts of violence. Without media literacy, individuals and societies become vulnerable to manipulation, division, and harm (Bulger & Davison, 2018).

2.1. The Power of Misinformation: How Fake News Manipulates Public Opinion

Public opinion is profoundly shaped by the information people consume. In today's interconnected world, the rapid dissemination of misinformation has the potential to significantly alter how individuals engage with politics, society, and one another. Misinformation can shape elections, influence public health decisions, and alter market behavior, all while fostering division and mistrust (Friggeri et al., 2014; Swire et al., 2017). When left unchecked, misinformation can lead to widespread panic, civil unrest, and even violence (Vosoughi et al., 2018). A striking example of this is the "Pizzagate" conspiracy theory, which surfaced in 2016. The theory falsely claimed that a pizzeria in Washington, D.C., was at the center of a child sex trafficking ring involving high-profile politicians, including Hillary Clinton. Despite the lack of factual evidence to support these allegations, the story gained considerable traction across social media platforms such as Reddit, Facebook, and Twitter, becoming a viral sensation (Gelfert, 2018).

The consequences of the Pizzagate conspiracy were profound. In December 2016, a man entered the pizzeria armed with an assault rifle, intending to "rescue" the imaginary victims he believed were trapped inside. While no one was injured, the incident highlighted the dangerous potential of fake news to incite real-world action (Bakshy et al., 2015). This event was fueled by several factors, including the nature of social media echo chambers that reinforce pre-existing beliefs, confirmation bias, and deliberate misinformation campaigns designed to manipulate public perception (Del Vicario et al., 2016).

In Brazil, similar dynamics can be observed, particularly during election cycles. For instance, the 2018 presidential election saw the spread of numerous false claims on social media, ranging from fabricated stories about candidates to misleading information about voting systems. These falsehoods were amplified by groups and individuals with political agendas, leading to confusion, mistrust, and, in some instances, violent clashes (Santos, 2021). Studies conducted by institutions such as the Brazilian Journalism Association (ABRAJI) and the University of São Paulo (USP) have demonstrated the role of digital platforms in accelerating the spread of fake news in politically polarized environments (Barreto et al., 2021). The role of WhatsApp in distributing

misinformation was particularly prominent, where misleading messages were sent in large volumes to influence voters (Guess et al., 2019).

The "Pizzagate" incident underscores the necessity of media literacy. People need to be equipped with the tools to critically assess the information they encounter, especially when sensational claims are made. As misinformation continues to evolve and become more sophisticated, it is crucial for individuals to question the validity of what they read and share (Friggeri et al., 2014). Promoting media literacy through education, fact-checking, and critical thinking can help individuals distinguish between credible information and fabricated narratives, thus preventing harmful consequences like those witnessed with Pizzagate. In countries like Brazil, where the digital information landscape is often rife with misinformation, developing these skills is even more urgent to preserve democratic processes and social stability.

2.2. The Dangers of Misinformation in Public Health

Misinformation becomes particularly dangerous when it concerns health-related topics. False or misleading medical claims can lead people to reject life-saving treatments, engage in harmful behaviors, and distrust medical professionals (Lazer et al., 2018). One of the most damaging pieces of misinformation in recent decades has been the claim that vaccines cause autism. This myth originated from a now-debunked 1998 study by Andrew Wakefield, which falsely linked the MMR (measles, mumps, and rubella) vaccine to autism (Deer, 2020). Although Wakefield's study was retracted and he lost his medical license, the damage was already done: (a) Many parents, fearing for their children's safety, refused to vaccinate them; (b) This led to a decline in herd immunity, allowing diseases like measles to resurge; and (c) in 2019, the U.S. experienced its worst measles outbreak in decades, with over 1,200 confirmed cases, many of which occurred in communities with low vaccination rates (Dyer, 2010).

This example demonstrates that misinformation isn't just a theoretical issue; it has real-world consequences that directly affect public health and endanger lives (Ferreira Caceres et al., 2022). If people were more media literate, they would be better able to critically assess scientific claims, check sources, and rely on trusted medical experts rather than unverified internet rumors.

2.3. The Political Consequences of Fake News

Politics is a frequent target of misinformation, with fake news often strategically used to influence elections, deepen societal divides, and erode trust in democratic institutions (Allcott & Gentzkow, 2017). The rise of digital platforms has created fertile ground for these tactics, making it easier for malicious actors to manipulate public opinion on a massive scale (*Online Social Movements - Hara - 2011 - Annual Review of Information Science and Technology - Wiley Online Library*, n.d.). A notable instance of political manipulation through fake news occurred during the 2016 U.S. presidential election, when Russian operatives created thousands of fake social media accounts posing as American citizens, spreading misinformation across platforms such as Facebook, Twitter, and Instagram (Mueller, 2019). Their goal was to polarize voters by promoting extreme political views, spreading conspiracy theories about candidates, and discouraging voter participation by fostering the belief that voting was futile.

The influence of these campaigns was far-reaching. Fake news stories were shared and amplified more than legitimate news reports, underscoring how misinformation can undermine democratic processes (Lazer et al., 2018). These efforts were not limited to traditional political narratives but also targeted social issues, stoking division and fueling anger between different segments of the population. This form of digital warfare raised serious concerns about the vulnerability of democracies to external interference and the growing power of social media in shaping political outcomes.

In Brazil, similar tactics have been employed to influence elections and create societal discord. During the 2018 Brazilian presidential election, fake news campaigns were reported to have undermined the credibility of candidates and manipulated voter behavior. Misinformation about the candidates' positions and fabricated scandals spread on platforms like WhatsApp and Facebook, contributing to political polarization and fostering an environment of distrust (Santos, 2021). One of the most significant consequences of fake news in Brazil has been its role in undermining faith in democratic institutions. Misinformation distorts the political landscape and erodes public trust in the media, government, and electoral processes.

Research conducted by organizations like the Brazilian Association of Investigative Journalism (ABRAJI) has shown how fake news has contributed to a crisis of trust, with citizens becoming increasingly skeptical of the information they receive, particularly when it comes from traditional media outlets (Santos, 2021). This context demonstrates how fake news can weaken the foundation of democracy itself, making it harder for citizens to make informed decisions and for institutions to function effectively. To combat these threats, it is vital to invest in media literacy, promote critical thinking, and hold social media platforms accountable for the content they host (Pennycook & Rand, 2019). Only by understanding the political impact of fake news and addressing it directly can we safeguard the future of democratic societies (Lazer et al., 2018)(Lazer et al., 2018).

2.4. Social Divisions and the Erosion of Trust

Misinformation is a powerful force that not only misguides individuals but also profoundly undermines trust in institutions, experts, and even personal relationships (Lewandowsky et al., 2017). In a world where people are increasingly exposed to competing narratives, it becomes increasingly difficult to have constructive and meaningful dialogue (Pennycook & Rand, 2019). When individuals operate from entirely different sets of “facts” and beliefs, it creates a vast chasm of misunderstanding and suspicion that stifles cooperation and collaboration (Ferreira Caceres et al., 2022). The consequences of this breakdown in communication are far-reaching and can manifest in various ways, including greater political polarization, deep mistrust of the media and scientific communities, and heightened hostility between social groups (Lazer et al., 2018).

The consequences of misinformation are especially evident in the political sphere, where opposing parties can become more entrenched in their views as a result of competing misinformation. When individuals are exposed to conflicting “facts” through social media, news outlets, or even personal circles, they may become more resistant to alternative viewpoints, leading to an ideological divide that grows harder to bridge (Kahne & Bowyer, 2017a). Instead of engaging in thoughtful discussions based on shared understandings, political

debates often become battles over "truths" that are built on shaky, unfounded claims (Ferreira Caceres et al., 2022).

The erosion of trust extends beyond politics into the public's relationship with the media and the scientific community. With the constant barrage of sensational headlines and false reports, people may begin to distrust the sources of information they once relied on. Media outlets, scientists, and experts become the targets of scrutiny and suspicion, with some members of the public even accusing them of deliberately spreading false information (Goertzel, 1994). This breakdown in credibility can undermine the foundation of societies, where trust in institutions is essential for social cohesion, progress, and the functioning of democratic processes (Hardin, 2002).

One of the clearest examples of the destructive power of misinformation occurred during the COVID-19 pandemic. As the world grappled with a global health crisis, the World Health Organization (WHO) warned of an "infodemic"—an overwhelming flood of misinformation that spread as quickly as the virus itself (Giordani et al., 2021). In the absence of verified information, people turned to conspiracy theories, rumors, and false claims, ranging from speculation about the virus's origins to dangerous, unproven "cures" like drinking bleach (van der Linden et al., 2020). These unfounded claims not only added to the confusion and panic but also directly impacted people's health choices (Ferreira Caceres et al., 2022).

For instance, many individuals refused to wear masks or get vaccinated due to misinformation about the virus and its vaccines (Loomba et al., 2021). Others even attacked doctors, nurses, and public health experts who were seen as sources of the "official" narrative, accusing them of spreading lies. The flood of misinformation led to bitter political divisions, with discussions about public health measures—such as mask mandates and lockdowns—becoming ideological battlegrounds rather than rational debates focused on the well-being of society (Brennen et al., 2020). The polarization surrounding these issues made it harder for policymakers to implement effective strategies to control the virus and save lives .

This example underscores the urgency of addressing misinformation and highlights the crucial role that media literacy can play in mitigating its harmful effects. Without media literacy, individuals may find it difficult to navigate the flood of information and identify trustworthy sources (Lewandowsky et al., 2017). If we do not equip people with the tools to question, analyze, and verify the information they encounter, misinformation will continue to mislead the public, endanger health, destabilize democracies, and create unnecessary fear and division (Lewandowsky et al., 2017). It is clear that the need for media literacy is not just a matter of academic interest but a matter of public urgency.

Media literacy empowers individuals by providing the skills necessary to recognize misleading headlines, question sources, and fact-check information before spreading it further (Yildiz & Keengwe, 2016). By helping people become more discerning about the news they consume, media literacy fosters critical thinking and allows individuals to make more informed decisions about the information they encounter. When people are taught to recognize and reject clickbait, to consider the biases of different sources, and to look beyond sensationalist headlines, they become less vulnerable to the influence of fake news (Kahne & Bowyer, 2017a). These skills can significantly reduce the negative impact of misinformation, allowing people to make better choices and contribute to more productive, empathetic conversations (Nasery et al., 2023).

Imagine a world in which individuals pause before believing or sharing sensational claims, where the first instinct is to verify information before reacting emotionally. In this world, people would take the time to critically assess the news they encounter rather than mindlessly accepting or rejecting it based on personal biases (Lazer et al., 2018). This small but powerful shift in thinking could dramatically reduce the harmful effects of misinformation, making it more difficult for falsehoods to spread unchecked.

The urgent need for media literacy is more apparent than ever, and in the next section, we will discuss practical steps that individuals can take to develop strong media literacy skills. By learning to recognize misinformation, fact-check claims, and critically engage with news, individuals can protect themselves from the damaging effects of fake news and help build a society where truth and trust take precedence over sensationalism and division (Yildiz & Keengwe, 2016).

3. Practical Tips to Improve Media Literacy

Misinformation thrives when individuals fail to critically evaluate the information they encounter (Lewandowsky et al., 2017). Developing strong media literacy skills is essential in resisting fake news and manipulation. By verifying sources, fact-checking claims, and recognizing emotional persuasion tactics, individuals can make more informed decisions and help curb the spread of misinformation (Vosoughi et al., 2018). The following strategies offer practical approaches to improving media literacy, supported by real-world examples illustrating their significance.

3.1. Check the Source – Is It Credible?

Evaluating the credibility of a source is crucial, as not all information originates from reliable outlets (Lazer et al., 2018). Some websites and social media accounts deliberately spread misinformation for political, financial, or ideological reasons, while others unintentionally share poorly researched or sensationalized content (Lazer et al., 2018). Discerning trustworthy sources from unreliable ones requires critical examination.

One method of verifying a source is analyzing its domain. Established and reputable news sources generally use well-known domains such as .gov, .edu, or .org, which are often held to higher standards of accuracy and accountability (Tandoc et al., 2018). Conversely, domains ending in .co may be used by fraudulent sites attempting to mimic legitimate outlets. For example, the fake news website abcnews.com.co was designed to resemble the authentic ABC News website but disseminated fabricated stories (Molina et al., 2021). Recognizing these domain subtleties is an important step in assessing credibility.

Examining the "About" page of a website can also provide valuable insights into its legitimacy. Established news organizations disclose ownership, editorial policies, and journalistic standards (Graves, 2018). In contrast, fake news websites often lack transparency, providing vague or misleading details about their origins. If a site does not specify editorial standards or avoids listing responsible parties, readers should approach its content with skepticism (Tandoc et al., 2018).

Assessing an article's author is another effective strategy for evaluating credibility. Reputable journalism is typically authored by experienced reporters with relevant expertise, and their credentials are usually provided (Pennycook & Rand, 2019). If an article lacks an identified author or if the author's qualifications

are unclear, the information should be scrutinized further. Trustworthy news organizations ensure their journalists' expertise is verifiable, reinforcing credibility.

Cross-referencing information with other reputable sources is also essential. If a claim is reported only by a single website and remains uncorroborated by independent sources, it is likely false or exaggerated (Swire et al., 2017). Reliable news stories are typically covered by multiple outlets, ensuring factual accuracy. Sensational claims—such as major political developments or groundbreaking health news—should be verified across reputable sources before being accepted as truth or shared.

A notable example illustrating the importance of verifying sources occurred during the 2016 U.S. presidential election. A viral fake news story falsely claimed that Pope Francis had endorsed Donald Trump for president. The article originated from a fake news website designed to resemble a legitimate news outlet. It was shared millions of times on Facebook before being debunked (Allcott & Gentzkow, 2017). The story gained traction because it leveraged the Pope's global influence and appeared to originate from a credible source. However, upon closer examination, it was revealed that the Vatican had not endorsed any political candidate. Despite its falsity, the story had a lasting impact on some voters who initially believed the claim.

This incident highlights the dangers of misinformation and underscores the necessity of verifying sources before accepting or sharing information. False narratives can influence public perception, shape political discourse, and erode trust in legitimate journalism (Molina et al., 2021). By applying source evaluation techniques—such as assessing domain credibility, verifying authorship, and cross-checking claims—individuals can cultivate a more critical approach to media consumption, thereby reducing their susceptibility to misinformation.

3.2. Look Beyond the Headline – Don't Fall for Clickbait

Headlines play a significant role in shaping public perception of news stories, but they are also frequently manipulated to mislead audiences. Many fake news articles rely on sensational or misleading headlines to capture attention, even when the content does not support the headline's claim (Chen et al., 2015). These so-called "clickbait" headlines are crafted to elicit strong emotional reactions, encouraging users to click and share without verifying the accuracy of the story (Blom & Hansen, 2015). Social media exacerbates this issue, as users often spread articles based solely on headlines, leading to the rapid dissemination of misinformation (Zhou & Zafarani, 2020).

To avoid falling for clickbait, readers should critically assess the language used in headlines. Sensational phrases like "shocking," "outrageous," or "mind-blowing" often indicate an attempt to provoke an emotional response rather than provide factual information (Silverman, 2015). Headlines that make extraordinary claims—whether overwhelmingly positive or negative—should be met with skepticism. As Pennycook and Rand (2019) emphasize, if something sounds too good (or bad) to be true, it probably is.

Another hallmark of clickbait is the deliberate omission of details, which entices readers to click on the article to uncover the full story (Blom & Hansen, 2015). Such vagueness suggests that the article may lack depth or credibility. Reliable news headlines, by contrast, provide substantive context and avoid misleading

ambiguity (Molyneux & Coddington, 2020). When encountering a vague headline, readers should seek out additional sources to verify the accuracy of the claims made.

A prominent example of clickbait misinformation occurred in 2018 when a viral article falsely asserted that former President Barack Obama had signed an executive order banning the Pledge of Allegiance in public schools (Zhou & Zafarani, 2020). The headline was deliberately designed to provoke outrage, leading to widespread social media sharing before the claim was thoroughly debunked. Analysis revealed that no such executive order existed, and the fabricated story was intended to exploit political divisions and manipulate public sentiment (Chen et al., 2015). The rapid spread of this false claim underscores the dangers of emotionally charged headlines and the importance of verifying information before reacting or sharing.

This case illustrates the critical role of media literacy in combating misinformation. By resisting the urge to react impulsively to clickbait headlines and by taking the time to read full articles, individuals can prevent the spread of misleading content. Developing the habit of fact-checking before sharing ensures that public discourse remains informed by credible and verified information (Lewandowsky et al., 2017). Through these practices, media consumers can cultivate a more discerning approach to news consumption, strengthening their ability to identify and counteract misinformation.

3.3.Fact-Check with Reliable Sources

Even articles that seem trustworthy at first glance can still contain misleading or inaccurate information. This is why fact-checking is one of the most important tools for ensuring the accuracy of the information we encounter (Swire et al., 2017). Misinformation can easily slip through the cracks, especially when it is packaged with seemingly credible sources or appears in an article from a site with a legitimate-looking design (Tandoc et al., 2018). The spread of false claims is especially rampant on social media, where sensationalist headlines and emotionally charged content often take precedence over facts (Pennycook & Rand, 2019). Therefore, it's essential to be proactive in verifying the truth before accepting and sharing any piece of information.

One of the most effective ways to fact-check is to rely on trusted, reliable sources that specialize in debunking misinformation. Websites like Snopes, FactCheck.org, PolitiFact, and Reuters Fact Check are all excellent resources for verifying claims (Graves, 2018)

. Snopes, for example, has built a reputation for investigating viral rumors, fake news stories, and urban legends, offering clear and detailed explanations about the origins of various claims and whether they are true or false (Silverman, 2015). Similarly, FactCheck.org focuses on providing a nonpartisan, factual analysis of political statements and claims made by public figures, while PolitiFact rates the accuracy of statements from politicians based on a clear scale of truthfulness (Vosoughi et al., 2018). Reuters Fact Check offers an international perspective, debunking misinformation circulating online, particularly in relation to global events. These websites employ a team of experts, including journalists and researchers, who conduct thorough investigations and provide evidence-backed conclusions to help the public make informed decisions (Allcott & Gentzkow, 2017).

A prime example of how fact-checking can expose a false claim is the viral conspiracy theory that emerged during the COVID-19 pandemic, which falsely suggested that 5G networks were responsible for spreading the virus. This claim spread rapidly across social media platforms, with some individuals even resorting to violent actions, such as burning down 5G towers in the UK and other countries, fueled by the belief that the wireless technology was responsible for the pandemic (Zarocostas, 2020). The theory had no scientific basis, and leading health organizations, including the World Health Organization (WHO), quickly debunked it. COVID-19 is caused by the SARS-CoV-2 virus, not by wireless technology. Despite the lack of evidence, the conspiracy theory gained traction, leading to widespread fear and confusion. By relying on trusted fact-checking websites, it became clear that the 5G conspiracy was entirely baseless (Zhou & Zafarani, 2020).

The key lesson here is that fact-checking is an essential step before believing or spreading a claim, particularly in today's digital age. Fact-checking websites offer an objective, thorough investigation into the veracity of online claims, providing people with the information they need to make well-informed decisions (Lewandowsky et al., 2017). With the rapid spread of misinformation and the increasing sophistication of fake news, it's more important than ever to take the time to verify information before it is accepted as truth or shared with others (Pennycook & Rand, 2019). Fact-checking isn't just about verifying small details—it's about ensuring that we are not contributing to the spread of false information that can cause harm to individuals and society at large. By using trusted resources and checking multiple sources, we can better navigate the complex landscape of information we encounter every day (Vosoughi et al., 2018).

3.4. Be Aware of Emotional Manipulation

Fake news often employs emotional manipulation to bypass rational thinking and prompt impulsive reactions (Bakir & McStay, 2018). These types of articles are designed with the specific aim of triggering strong emotional responses like anger, fear, or outrage, making it easier for individuals to accept them as truth without carefully considering the facts. Research in cognitive psychology indicates that emotions play a crucial role in decision-making, often leading individuals to make quicker, less thoughtful judgments when emotionally charged (Forgas, 1995). This is why fake news creators strategically target these emotional triggers—by evoking strong emotions, they manipulate people into sharing false information, sometimes without even realizing it. The objective is to create a sense of urgency or panic, leading to immediate reactions rather than thoughtful analysis (Lewandowsky et al., 2012).

Emotional manipulation in fake news frequently manifests through fear-mongering tactics, which are designed to alarm the reader. For example, sensationalist headlines such as “This new law will destroy your rights forever!” or “The end of democracy is near!” create a perception of imminent danger, prompting readers to act quickly without verification (Benkler et al., 2018). Outrage is another common tactic; articles might use extreme language like “You won't BELIEVE what this politician just did!” to invoke shock and anger, which can lead to emotional outbursts and a rush to judgment (Vosoughi et al., 2018). Similarly, guilt-tripping is a manipulation technique found in misleading content. Fake news stories might include lines like “If you don't share this, you don't care about the truth!” to pressure individuals into spreading the information, regardless of its accuracy (Pennycook & Rand, 2019).

A notorious example of emotional manipulation is the "Pizzagate" conspiracy theory, which falsely claimed that prominent political figures, including Hillary Clinton, were involved in a child trafficking ring. This story was deliberately constructed to elicit outrage and fear, suggesting a grand criminal conspiracy among powerful elites (Tandoc et al., 2018). The theory gained significant traction, particularly in the run-up to the 2016 U.S. presidential election, and had tangible real-world consequences. One of the most alarming outcomes was an armed man entering a pizzeria in Washington, D.C., to investigate the alleged trafficking operation, demonstrating the dangerous effects of emotional manipulation in misinformation (Cecilia Kang, 2016). This incident serves as a potent reminder that when we encounter news that stirs extreme emotions, we must step back and critically evaluate whether it is designed to manipulate our feelings rather than inform us accurately.

The key lesson from cases like Pizzagate is that individuals should exercise caution when experiencing strong emotions in response to news. If an article provokes extreme anger, fear, or shock, it may indicate that the content is not intended to inform but to manipulate emotions (van der Linden et al., 2020). Developing the habit of pausing and analyzing the emotional tone of a story before reacting is essential for navigating the modern media landscape. By recognizing the signs of emotional manipulation, individuals can avoid falling victim to misinformation and ensure that their responses are grounded in reason and evidence, rather than unchecked emotional impulses.

3.5. Verify Images and Videos – Don't Trust Everything You See

In today's digital landscape, misinformation is not limited to textual content; images and videos have become highly effective tools for spreading falsehoods due to their perceived authenticity (Wardle, C., & Derakhshan, H., 2017). Visual content can evoke strong emotional responses and influence public opinion, making it a prime target for manipulation (Tandoc et al., 2018). With the rapid dissemination of images and videos through social media, false visuals can shape narratives and reinforce misleading claims, even when there is no factual basis. Thus, developing critical skills for verifying visual content is crucial in combating the spread of misinformation.

One of the most effective methods for verifying images is the use of Google Reverse Image Search, which allows users to trace the origin of an image and determine whether it has been used out of context (Brennen et al., 2020). Similarly, Google Lens provides additional insights by identifying objects within an image. Another essential verification technique is scrutinizing visual inconsistencies, such as unnatural shadows, mismatched reflections, or distortions in facial features—common indicators of digital manipulation (Paris & Donovan, 2019). Additionally, evaluating the credibility of the source is critical; reputable news organizations adhere to editorial standards that reduce the likelihood of manipulated content being disseminated (Lewandowsky et al., 2017).

A well-documented case of viral image misinformation is the "Sharks Swimming in Houston Streets After Hurricane Harvey" incident in 2017. The image, which purportedly showed sharks in flooded urban areas, was widely shared on social media and even reported by some news outlets. However, subsequent fact-checking revealed that the image was digitally altered and unrelated to Hurricane Harvey (Vosoughi et al.,

2018). Despite later corrections, the image had already fueled panic and misinformation, highlighting the dangers of blindly trusting visual content.

Beyond traditional image manipulation, a more insidious challenge is the rise of deepfake technology. Deepfakes use artificial intelligence to create highly realistic videos that can depict individuals saying or doing things they never actually did (Chesney, Bobby; Citron, Danielle, 2019). These videos can be difficult to detect, posing serious risks to political stability, reputational integrity, and public trust in media. A notorious example is the altered video of Nancy Pelosi in 2020, which was edited to make her appear intoxicated. Despite being debunked, the video remained widely circulated, influencing public perception and illustrating the dangerous implications of AI-driven misinformation (Paris & Donovan, 2019).

Given the increasing sophistication of visual misinformation, vigilance is essential. Employing verification tools, scrutinizing inconsistencies, and checking reputable sources are crucial steps in navigating today's media landscape. Strengthening media literacy skills allows individuals to critically assess visual content, mitigating the spread of deceptive information (Lewandowsky et al., 2017). By questioning rather than passively accepting what we see, we can become more resilient against visual misinformation and its potentially harmful consequences.

4. The Importance of Media Literacy

In an era dominated by digital communication, teaching media literacy has become essential for fostering an informed and critically engaged society. The rapid spread of misinformation, particularly on social media platforms, highlights the necessity of equipping individuals with the skills to analyze, evaluate, and distinguish credible information from falsehoods (Lewandowsky et al., 2012, 2017; Swire et al., 2017). Without these skills, individuals are more vulnerable to manipulation, propaganda, and declining trust in reputable institutions (Mihailidis & Viotty, 2017). Research suggests that individuals with higher levels of media literacy are better at recognizing bias, questioning misleading narratives, and seeking out diverse and reliable sources (Lazer et al., 2018).

Beyond combating misinformation, media literacy education enhances critical thinking and civic engagement. When individuals are trained to assess media content critically, they are more likely to participate in democratic processes in an informed manner (Kahne & Bowyer, 2017b). Studies show that media literacy fosters skepticism toward misinformation and increases the likelihood of fact-checking claims made by public figures (Vraga & Bode, 2020). This contributes to strengthening democratic institutions by fostering a population that values truth, accountability, and responsible media consumption (Bennett & Livingston, 2018).

Furthermore, media literacy education is essential for younger generations growing up in a digital world where the boundaries between entertainment, advertising, and news are increasingly blurred. Integrating media literacy into school curricula empowers students to navigate digital spaces responsibly, recognize manipulative tactics used in online content, and protect themselves from cyber threats (Bulger & Davison, 2018). As research suggests, teaching media literacy not only improves students' ability to discern misinformation but also promotes ethical online behavior and digital resilience (Wineburg & McGrew, 2016).

Ultimately, fostering media literacy is not merely about consuming information—it is about cultivating a mindset that prioritizes inquiry, skepticism, and the pursuit of knowledge in an age where truth is often contested.

4.1. Teaching Media Literacy in Schools

As digital content becomes an integral part of children's and young adults' lives, it is essential that schools equip them with the skills necessary to navigate the complex landscape of online information (Livingstone & Helsper, 2007). This generation is exposed to an overwhelming amount of information daily, yet many students lack the critical thinking tools required to differentiate between credible sources and misleading content (Kahne & Bowyer, 2017b). Schools play a crucial role in fostering media literacy, helping students develop a deeper understanding of how information is produced, shared, and consumed in the digital age (Hobbs, 2010).

One of the most effective ways to address this challenge is by integrating media literacy into the curriculum. This includes teaching students how to assess news sources, recognize bias, and evaluate the credibility of information (Mihailidis & Viotty, 2017). By engaging with real-world case studies, educators can illustrate common tactics used by those who deliberately spread misinformation. In addition, fostering critical thinking skills ensures that students do not merely absorb facts but also learn to interrogate sources and assess the strength of arguments (McGrew et al., 2017). Teaching students how to identify logical fallacies and emotionally manipulative content enables them to become more discerning consumers of information (McGrew et al., 2018).

Real-world examples play a pivotal role in helping students grasp the prevalence and impact of misinformation. Examining major misinformation cases from recent history allows students to recognize patterns of deception and understand how such content spreads (Guess et al., 2020). For instance, the 2016 U.S. presidential election witnessed a surge in fabricated news stories designed to influence voters and sow division (Allcott & Gentzkow, 2017). Among the most viral falsehoods were claims such as Pope Francis endorsing Donald Trump, the “Pizzagate” conspiracy implicating Hillary Clinton in a child trafficking ring, and a fabricated story alleging that President Obama had banned the Pledge of Allegiance in schools (Silverman, 2015). These stories were widely circulated on social media, leading to real-world consequences, including violent incidents. One particularly alarming case involved a man armed with a firearm entering a Washington, D.C., pizzeria under the false belief that he was rescuing trafficked children (Thorson, 2016). By studying these cases, students can learn to identify hallmarks of political misinformation and understand its emotional and societal impacts (Pennycook & Rand, 2019).

Furthermore, responsible social media use is a crucial component of media literacy education. Many young people share content without verifying its authenticity, inadvertently contributing to the rapid spread of misinformation (Holcomb, 2016). Schools can educate students about the importance of pausing before sharing content and verifying its credibility using trusted fact-checking sources such as Snopes, PolitiFact, and FactCheck.org (Lewandowsky et al., 2017). By instilling these habits early on, students will be better

equipped to navigate the digital landscape responsibly, making informed decisions about the content they consume and disseminate (Boyd, D., 2014).

Incorporating media literacy into school curricula is a vital step toward preparing students for the challenges of the digital era. By equipping them with the skills to identify reliable information, detect misleading content, and engage responsibly with social media, schools can empower the next generation to become critical, informed, and responsible digital citizens (Buckingham, D., n.d.).

4.2. Using Digital Tools to Teach Media Literacy

Digital tools have become essential in the fight against misinformation, providing interactive and engaging methods to enhance media literacy across different age groups (Kahne & Bowyer, 2017a). These tools not only educate users but also enable them to apply critical thinking skills in real-life contexts. One widely used verification tool is Google Reverse Image Search, which allows individuals to check the authenticity and origin of images by comparing them with existing online content. Research has shown that misinformation often relies on manipulated or decontextualized images to enhance (Wardle, C., & Derakhshan, H., 2017). Similarly, fact-checking websites such as Snopes, FactCheck.org, and PolitiFact provide evidence-based evaluations of online claims, helping users navigate digital content with a more analytical approach (Graves, 2018). These platforms play a crucial role in countering false narratives by offering transparent and well-documented fact-checking methodologies.

In addition to these verification tools, several educational initiatives focus on fostering critical digital literacy. Programs like the News Literacy Project and MediaWise offer structured online courses designed to teach individuals how to recognize and assess misinformation. Studies have highlighted the effectiveness of such interventions in improving users' ability to detect fake news and propaganda (Guess et al., 2019). These courses, available in multiple languages, cater to a broad audience, from students to professionals seeking to develop advanced information literacy skills. By engaging with these resources, individuals can develop a deeper understanding of digital media ecosystems and misinformation tactics.

Another innovative approach to media literacy education involves gamified learning experiences. The game "Bad News," developed by the University of Cambridge, places players in the role of a fake news creator, exposing them to common disinformation strategies such as emotional manipulation, sensationalism, and fabricated credibility (Roozenbeek et al., 2020; van der Linden et al., 2020). Research indicates that this kind of inoculation-based training can significantly improve users' resistance to misinformation by increasing their awareness of deceptive techniques (Banas & Miller, 2013). Such interactive learning methods are particularly effective in engaging younger audiences, who often consume news through social media platforms.

In Brazil, where misinformation has proliferated, particularly on platforms like WhatsApp, digital tools have become crucial in combating fake news. During recent political events and the COVID-19 pandemic, misinformation spread rapidly, leading to severe real-world consequences (Recuero et al., 2020). In response, initiatives such as "Projeto Comprova" and "Lupa," both collaborative fact-checking projects involving Brazilian media outlets, have provided essential resources to verify online claims (Tardáguila et al., 2018). These platforms offer accessible, Portuguese-language fact-checking tools that empower users to critically

evaluate news content. Furthermore, studies have emphasized the importance of culturally tailored fact-checking initiatives in non-English-speaking regions to enhance digital literacy and combat region-specific misinformation trends (Hale et al., 2024; Ozawa et al., 2023).

Beyond digital platforms, Brazilian educational institutions and civil society organizations are integrating media literacy into school curricula. Projects like "EducaDigital" emphasize the need for students to critically assess information sources, identify biases, and verify facts before sharing news (Frau-Meigs, D. & Irma Velez, J. F. M., 2017). Additionally, community-driven programs such as "Comunicação e Cultura Digital" organize workshops in libraries and local centers, providing lifelong learning opportunities for citizens to develop digital media literacy skills. These initiatives highlight the role of grassroots movements in fostering an informed society.

By leveraging digital tools and fostering educational programs, misinformation can be systematically addressed, ultimately cultivating a more media-literate society (Joint Research Centre, 2024). Schools, families, and community organizations must work collaboratively to support these efforts, ensuring that individuals have the necessary skills to discern fact from fiction in an increasingly complex digital landscape. As misinformation continues to evolve, the widespread adoption of media literacy education remains a fundamental strategy in strengthening democratic resilience and promoting truth-based discourse.

5. Conclusion

In an age where information spreads rapidly, media literacy has become indispensable. Misinformation is now one of the most significant challenges of our era, influencing public opinion, shaping political debates, and even jeopardizing public health. From viral hoaxes and conspiracy theories to intentionally deceptive news reports, the propagation of false information can undermine trust in institutions, intensify social divisions, and distort perceptions of reality. As demonstrated in recent studies, misinformation has tangible real-world consequences, ranging from election-related fake news to misleading health claims during the COVID-19 pandemic.

This article has explored the critical aspects of media literacy: understanding its significance, its necessity, and actionable strategies to improve our capacity to critically assess information. We have outlined how misinformation can be mitigated by employing practical tools, such as verifying sources, recognizing emotional manipulation, fact-checking with reputable organizations, and utilizing digital tools to validate the authenticity of images and videos. However, individual efforts alone are insufficient. To effectively combat misinformation, media literacy must be a collective endeavor. By discussing media literacy with family and friends, incorporating it into educational curricula, and promoting it in community settings, we can foster a society that prioritizes truth over deception.

The responsibility of tackling misinformation lies not only with individuals but also with governments, social media platforms, journalists, and educators. While social media companies must refine their algorithms to minimize the spread of misinformation, policymakers should introduce measures that promote transparency and accountability in the dissemination of information. Journalists must adhere to rigorous ethical standards

to prioritize accuracy over sensationalism. Most importantly, every citizen plays a crucial role in cultivating a culture of critical thinking and responsible information-sharing.

We encourage readers to take the next step: apply media literacy in everyday interactions. Before sharing news, take a moment to verify its authenticity. Support quality journalism and encourage others to do the same. By joining media literacy initiatives, individuals can collectively contribute to the reduction of misinformation in their communities

The more people understand the importance of media literacy, the more difficult it becomes for misinformation to flourish. By fostering awareness, we can build a more informed and resilient society—one that values truth over deception, evidence over emotion, and reason over sensationalism. The fight against misinformation is ongoing, but with sustained education, vigilance, and collective action, we can create a digital ecosystem that prioritizes truth. Media literacy is the key to safeguarding democracy and society's intellectual well-being.

6. Acknowledgments

This work was supported by CAPES (Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel) under the Programa Institucional de Internacionalização (CAPES-PrInt), funded through Edital CAPES/PrInt nº 41/2017, under grant number 88887.694563/2022-00.

7. References

- Allcott, H., & Gentzkow, M. (2017). Social Media and Fake News in the 2016 Election. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 31(2), 211–236. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.31.2.211>
- Bakir, V., & McStay, A. (2018). Fake News and The Economy of Emotions: Problems, causes, solutions. *Digital Journalism*, 6(2), 154–175. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2017.1345645>
- Bakshy, E., Messing, S., & Adamic, L. A. (2015). Exposure to ideologically diverse news and opinion on Facebook. *Science*, 348(6239), 1130–1132. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa1160>
- Banas, J. A., & Miller, G. (2013). Inducing Resistance to Conspiracy Theory Propaganda: Testing Inoculation and Metainoculation Strategies. *Human Communication Research*, 39(2), 184–207. <https://doi.org/10.1111/hcre.12000>
- Barreto, M. da S., Caram, C. da S., dos Santos, J. L. G., de Souza, R. R., Goes, H. L. de F., & Marcon, S. S. (2021). Fake news about the COVID-19 pandemic: Perception of health professionals and their families. *Revista Da Escola de Enfermagem Da USP*, 55, e20210007. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1980-220X-REEUSP-2021-0007>
- Benkler, Y., Faris, R., & Roberts, H. (2018). *Network Propaganda: Manipulation, Disinformation, and Radicalization in American Politics* (1st ed.). Oxford University Press New York. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780190923624.001.0001>
- Bennett, W. L., & Livingston, S. (2018). The disinformation order: Disruptive communication and the decline of democratic institutions. *European Journal of Communication*, 33(2), 122–139. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0267323118760317>

- Blom, J. N., & Hansen, K. R. (2015). Click bait: Forward-reference as lure in online news headlines. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 76, 87–100. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pragma.2014.11.010>
- Boyd, D. (2014). *It's Complicated*. Yale University Press. <https://yalebooks.yale.edu/9780300199000/its-complicated>
- Brennen, J. S., Simon, F. M., Howard, P. N., & Nielsen, R. K. (2020). *Types, sources, and claims of Covid-19 misinformation*. Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism. <https://doi.org/10.60625/RISJ-AWVQ-SR55>
- Buckingham, D. (n.d.). Retrieved February 4, 2025, from <https://www.wiley.com/en-us/The+Media+Education+Manifesto-p-9781509535873>
- Bulger, M., & Davison, P. (2018). The Promises, Challenges, and Futures of Media Literacy. *Journal of Media Literacy Education*, 10(1), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.23860/JMLE-2018-10-1-1>
- Cecilia Kang. (2016, November 21). *Fake news onslaught targets pizzeria as nest of child-trafficking*. <https://www.nytimes.com/2016/11/21/technology/fact-check-this-pizzeria-is-not-a-child-trafficking-site.html>
- Chen, Y., Conroy, N. J., & Rubin, V. L. (2015). Misleading Online Content: Recognizing Clickbait as “False News.” *Proceedings of the 2015 ACM on Workshop on Multimodal Deception Detection*, 15–19. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2823465.2823467>
- Chesney, Bobby; Citron, Danielle. (2019). *Deep Fakes: A Looming Challenge for Privacy*. <https://doi.org/10.15779/Z38RV0D15J>
- COVID-19 Mythbusters – World Health Organization*. (n.d.). Retrieved February 3, 2025, from <https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/advice-for-public/myth-busters>
- Deer, B. (2020). *The Doctor Who Fooled the World*. Johns Hopkins University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1353/book.99594>
- Del Vicario, M., Bessi, A., Zollo, F., Petroni, F., Scala, A., Caldarelli, G., Stanley, H. E., & Quattrociocchi, W. (2016). The spreading of misinformation online. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 113(3), 554–559. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1517441113>
- Dyer, C. (2010). Lancet retracts Wakefield’s MMR paper. *BMJ*, 340, c696. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.c696>
- Entman, R. M. (2007). Framing Bias: Media in the Distribution of Power. *Journal of Communication*, 57(1), 163–173. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-2466.2006.00336.x>
- Ferreira Caceres, M. M., Sosa, J. P., Lawrence, J. A., Sestacovschi, C., Tidd-Johnson, A., Rasool, M. H. U., Gadamidi, V. K., Ozair, S., Pandav, K., Cuevas-Lou, C., Parrish, M., Rodriguez, I., & Fernandez, J. P. (2022). The impact of misinformation on the COVID-19 pandemic. *AIMS Public Health*, 9(2), 262–277. <https://doi.org/10.3934/publichealth.2022018>
- Forgas, J. P. (1995). Mood and judgment: The affect infusion model (AIM). *Psychological Bulletin*, 117(1), 39–66. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.117.1.39>
- Frau-Meigs, D. & Irma Velez, J. F. M. (2017). *Public Policies in Media and Information Literacy in Europe: Cross-Country Comparisons*. <https://www.routledge.com/Public-Policies-in-Media-and-Information-Literacy-in-Europe-Cross-Country-Comparisons/Frau-Meigs-Velez-FloresMichel/p/book/9781138644373>
- Frigeri, A., Adamic, L., Eckles, D., & Cheng, J. (2014). Rumor Cascades. *Proceedings of the International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media*, 8(1), 101–110. <https://doi.org/10.1609/icwsm.v8i1.14559>

- Gelfert, A. (2018). Fake News: A Definition. *Informal Logic*, 38(1), 84–117. <https://doi.org/10.22329/il.v38i1.5068>
- Giordani, R. C. F., Donasolo, J. P. G., Ames, V. D. B., & Giordani, R. L. (2021). The science between the infodemic and other post-truth narratives: Challenges during the pandemic. *Ciencia & Saude Coletiva*, 26(7), 2863–2872. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1413-81232021267.05892021>
- Goertzel, T. (1994). Belief in Conspiracy Theories. *Political Psychology*, 15(4), 731. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3791630>
- Graves, L. (2018). *Understanding the promise and limits of automated fact-checking*. Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism. <https://doi.org/10.60625/RISJ-NQNX-BG89>
- Greenhill, K. M., & Oppenheim, B. (2017). Rumor Has It: The Adoption of Unverified Information in Conflict Zones. *International Studies Quarterly*, 61(3), 660–676.
- Guess, A. M., Nyhan, B., & Reifler, J. (2020). Exposure to untrustworthy websites in the 2016 US election. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 4(5), 472–480. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-020-0833-x>
- Guess, A., Nagler, J., & Tucker, J. (2019). Less than you think: Prevalence and predictors of fake news dissemination on Facebook. *Science Advances*, 5(1), eaau4586. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aau4586>
- Hale, S. A., Belisario, A., Mostafa, A. N., & Camargo, C. (2024). Analyzing Misinformation Claims During the 2022 Brazilian General Election on WhatsApp, Twitter, and Kwai. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*, 36(3), edae032. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ijpor/edae032>
- Hardin, R. (2002). *Trust and trustworthiness*. Russell Sage Foundation.
- Hobbs, R. (2010). *Digital and media literacy: A plan of action : a white paper on the digital and media literacy recommendations of the Knight Commission on the information needs of communities in a democracy*. Aspen Institute.
- Holcomb, M. B., Amy Mitchell and Jesse. (2016, December 15). Many Americans Believe Fake News Is Sowing Confusion. *Pew Research Center*. <https://www.pewresearch.org/journalism/2016/12/15/many-americans-believe-fake-news-is-sowing-confusion/>
- Joint Research Centre. (2024, December 13). *Misinformation and disinformation: Both prebunking and debunking work for fighting it - European Commission*. https://joint-research-centre.ec.europa.eu/jrc-news-and-updates/misinformation-and-disinformation-both-prebunking-and-debunking-work-fighting-it-2024-10-25_en
- Kahne, J., & Bowyer, B. (2017a). Educating for Democracy in a Partisan Age: Confronting the Challenges of Motivated Reasoning and Misinformation. *American Educational Research Journal*, 54(1), 3–34. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0002831216679817>
- Kahne, J., & Bowyer, B. (2017b). Educating for Democracy in a Partisan Age: Confronting the Challenges of Motivated Reasoning and Misinformation. *American Educational Research Journal*, 54(1), 3–34. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0002831216679817>
- Lazer, D. M. J., Baum, M. A., Benkler, Y., Berinsky, A. J., Greenhill, K. M., Menczer, F., Metzger, M. J., Nyhan, B., Pennycook, G., Rothschild, D., Schudson, M., Sloman, S. A., Sunstein, C. R., Thorson, E. A., Watts, D. J., & Zittrain, J. L. (2018). The science of fake news. *Science*, 359(6380), 1094–1096.

<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aao2998>

Lewandowsky, S., Ecker, U. K. H., & Cook, J. (2017). Beyond misinformation: Understanding and coping with the “post-truth” era. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*, 6(4), 353–369. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jarmac.2017.07.008>

Lewandowsky, S., Ecker, U. K. H., Seifert, C. M., Schwarz, N., & Cook, J. (2012). Misinformation and its correction: Continued influence and successful debiasing. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 13(3), 106–131. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1529100612451018>

Livingstone, S., & Helsper, E. (2007). Gradations in digital inclusion: Children, young people and the digital divide. *New Media & Society*, 9(4), 671–696. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444807080335>

Loomba, S., de Figueiredo, A., Piatek, S. J., de Graaf, K., & Larson, H. J. (2021). Measuring the impact of COVID-19 vaccine misinformation on vaccination intent in the UK and USA. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 5(3), 337–348. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-021-01056-1>

McGrew, S., Breakstone, J., Ortega, T., Smith, M., & Wineburg, S. (2018). Can Students Evaluate Online Sources? Learning From Assessments of Civic Online Reasoning. *Theory & Research in Social Education*, 46(2), 165–193. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00933104.2017.1416320>

McGrew, S., Ortega, T., Breakstone, J., & Wineburg, S. (2017). The Challenge That’s Bigger than Fake News: Civic Reasoning in a Social Media Environment. *The American Educator*. <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/The-Challenge-That%27s-Bigger-than-Fake-News%3A-Civic-a-McGrew-Ortega/1df6123ef3088162dfb20417055de470f76f56bf>

Mihailidis, P., & Viotty, S. (2017). Spreadable Spectacle in Digital Culture: Civic Expression, Fake News, and the Role of Media Literacies in “Post-Fact” Society. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 61(4), 441–454. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0002764217701217>

Molina, M. D., Sundar, S. S., Le, T., & Lee, D. (2021). “Fake News” Is Not Simply False Information: A Concept Explication and Taxonomy of Online Content. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 65(2), 180–212. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0002764219878224>

Molyneux, L., & Coddington, M. (2020). Aggregation, Clickbait and Their Effect on Perceptions of Journalistic Credibility and Quality. *Journalism Practice*, 14(4), 429–446. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17512786.2019.1628658>

Mueller, R. S. (2019, March). *Report on the Investigation Into Russian Interference in the 2016 Presidential Election [Redacted Version]* (United States) [Report]. UNT Digital Library; United States. Government Publishing Office. <https://digital.library.unt.edu/ark:/67531/metadc1933948/>

Nasery, M., Turel, O., & Yuan, Y. (2023). Combating Fake News on Social Media: A Framework, Review, and Future Opportunities. *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*, 53(1), 833–876. <https://doi.org/10.17705/1CAIS.05335>

Online social movements—Hara—2011—Annual Review of Information Science and Technology—Wiley Online Library. (n.d.). Retrieved February 4, 2025, from <https://asistdl.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/aris.2011.1440450117>

Ozawa, J. V. S., Woolley, S. C., Straubhaar, J., Riedl, M. J., Joseff, K., & Gursky, J. (2023). How

- Disinformation on WhatsApp Went From Campaign Weapon to Governmental Propaganda in Brazil. *Social Media + Society*, 9(1), 20563051231160632. <https://doi.org/10.1177/20563051231160632>
- Paris, B., & Donovan, J. (2019, September 18). *Deepfakes and Cheap Fakes*. Data & Society; Data & Society Research Institute. <https://datasociety.net/library/deepfakes-and-cheap-fakes/>
- Pariser, E. (2011). *The Filter Bubble: What the Internet Is Hiding from You*. Penguin Group, The.
- Pasquetto, I. V., Jahani, E., Atreja, S., & Baum, M. (2022). Social Debunking of Misinformation on WhatsApp: The Case for Strong and In-group Ties. *Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact.*, 6(CSCW1), 117:1-117:35. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3512964>
- Pennycook, G., & Rand, D. G. (2019). Fighting misinformation on social media using crowdsourced judgments of news source quality. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 116(7), 2521–2526. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1806781116>
- Rainie, J. A. and L. (2017, October 19). The Future of Truth and Misinformation Online. *Pew Research Center*. <https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/2017/10/19/the-future-of-truth-and-misinformation-online/>
- Recuero, R., Soares, F. B., & Gruzd, A. (2020). Hyperpartisanship, Disinformation and Political Conversations on Twitter: The Brazilian Presidential Election of 2018. *Proceedings of the International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media*, 14, 569–578. <https://doi.org/10.1609/icwsm.v14i1.7324>
- Roozenbeek, J., Schneider, C. R., Dryhurst, S., Kerr, J., Freeman, A. L. J., Recchia, G., van der Bles, A. M., & van der Linden, S. (2020). Susceptibility to misinformation about COVID-19 around the world. *Royal Society Open Science*, 7(10), 201199. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.201199>
- Santos, G. F. (2021). Social media, disinformation, and regulation of the electoral process: A study based on 2018 Brazilian election experience. *Revista de Investigações Constitucionais*, 7, 429–449. <https://doi.org/10.5380/rinc.v7i2.71057>
- Silverman, C. (2015). *Lies, Damn Lies and Viral Content*. <https://doi.org/10.7916/D8Q81RHH>
- Swire, B., Ecker, U. K. H., & Lewandowsky, S. (2017). The role of familiarity in correcting inaccurate information. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 43(12), 1948–1961. <https://doi.org/10.1037/xlm0000422>
- Tandoc, E. C., Lim, Z. W., & Ling, R. (2018). Defining “Fake News”: A typology of scholarly definitions. *Digital Journalism*, 6(2), 137–153. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2017.1360143>
- Tardáguila, C., Benevenuto, F., & Ortellado, P. (2018, October 17). Fake News Is Poisoning Brazilian Politics. WhatsApp Can Stop It. *The New York Times*. <https://www.nytimes.com/2018/10/17/opinion/brazil-election-fake-news-whatsapp.html>
- Thorson, E. (2016). Belief Echoes: The Persistent Effects of Corrected Misinformation. *Political Communication*, 33(3), 460–480. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10584609.2015.1102187>
- Vaccari, C., & Chadwick, A. (2020). Deepfakes and Disinformation: Exploring the Impact of Synthetic Political Video on Deception, Uncertainty, and Trust in News. *Social Media + Society*, 6(1), 2056305120903408. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305120903408>
- van der Linden, S., Panagopoulos, C., & Roozenbeek, J. (2020). You are fake news: Political bias in perceptions of fake news. *Media, Culture & Society*, 42(3), 460–470.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/0163443720906992>

Vosoughi, S., Roy, D., & Aral, S. (2018). The spread of true and false news online. *Science*, 359(6380), 1146–1151. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aap9559>

Vraga, E. K., & Bode, L. (2020). Defining Misinformation and Understanding its Bounded Nature: Using Expertise and Evidence for Describing Misinformation. *Political Communication*, 37(1), 136–144. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10584609.2020.1716500>

Wardle, C., & Derakhshan, H. (2017). *Information disorder: Toward an interdisciplinary framework for research and policy making* [Dataset]. <https://edoc.coe.int/en/media/7495-information-disorder-toward-an-interdisciplinary-framework-for-research-and-policy-making.html>

Yildiz, M. N., & Keengwe, J. (Eds.). (2016). *Handbook of Research on Media Literacy in the Digital Age*: IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-4666-9667-9>

Zarocostas, J. (2020). How to fight an infodemic. *Lancet (London, England)*, 395(10225), 676. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)30461-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30461-X)

Zhou, X., & Zafarani, R. (2020). A Survey of Fake News: Fundamental Theories, Detection Methods, and Opportunities. *ACM Comput. Surv.*, 53(5), 109:1-109:40. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3395046>