## A Note on The Abel Matrix Transformations

Mulatu Lemma, Latrice Tanksley, Keisha Brown

Department of Mathematics College of Science & Technology Savannah State University USA

#### Abstract

Let t be sequence in (0,1) that converges to 1. The Abel matrix is defined as  $a_{nk} = (1-t_n)^k t_n$ . We denote the Abel Matrix by  $A_t$ .  $A_t$  is a sequence to sequence mapping? When a matrix  $A_t$  is applied to a sequence x, we get a new sequence  $A_t x$  whose nth term is given by:

$$(A_t x)_n = (1 - t_n) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t_n^{\ k} x_k$$

The sequence  $A_t x_{is}$  called the  $A_t$ -transform of the sequence x.

The purpose of this research is to investigate the effect of applying  $A_t$  to convergent sequences, bounded sequences, divergent sequences, and absolutely convergent sequences. We considering and answer the following interesting main research questions.

# **Research Questions**.

(1) What is the domain of t for which  $A_t$  maps convergent sequence into convergent sequence?

(2) What is the domain of t for which the  $A_t$  maps absolutely convergent sequence into absolutely convergent sequence?

- (3) Does  $A_t$  maps unbounded sequence to convergent sequence?
- (4) Does  $A_t$  maps divergent sequence to convergent sequence?
- (5) How is the strength of the  $A_t$  comparing to the identity matrix?

# **Notations and Background Materials**

w= {the set of all complex sequences}

c= {the set of all convergent complex sequences}

$$c(A) = \{y: Ay \in c\}$$

 $l = \{ \mathbf{y}: \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |y_k| < \infty \}$ 

International Educative Research Foundation and Publisher © 2016

 $l(A) = \{y: Ay \in l\}$ 

### **Regular Matrix**

A matrix is regular if  $\lim_{n\to\infty} Z_n = a \Rightarrow \lim_{n\to\infty} (AX)_n = a$ . That is a sequence Z is convergent to  $A \Rightarrow$  the A-transform of Z also converses to a.

#### The Sliverman-Toeplitz Rule

We state the following famous Sliverman-Toeplitz Rule as Proposition I without proof and apply it.

**Proposition I:** A matrix A =  $(a_{n,k})$  is regular if and only if (i)  $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_{n,k} = 0$  for each k= 0,1,...,

(ii) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{n,k} = 1$$
, and

(iii) 
$$\sup_{n} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_{n;k}| \right\} \le M < \infty$$
 for some  $M > 0$ .

#### **The Main Results**

**Theorem 1:** The Abel Matrix  $A_t$  is a regular matrix for all t.

**Proof:** We use proposition 1 to prove the theorem. Note that

(1) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} a_{n,k} = \lim_{n \to \infty} (1 - t_n)^k t_n = 0$$
  
(2)  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t_n^{\ k} (1 - t_n) = \lim_{n \leftarrow \infty} (1 - t_n) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t_n^{\ k} = \frac{1 - t_n}{1 - t_n} = 1$  and  
(3)  $Sup_n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{n,k} = 1$ 

Hence by Proposition I, the Abel Matrix  $A_t$  is a regular matrix.

**<u>Remark 1:</u>** The  $A_t$  matrix maps <u>a bounded sequence</u> into a convergent sequence as shown by the following example. This shows that the  $A_t$  matrix is stronger than the identity matrix or c(A) is larger than c.

**Example 1**: Consider the bounded sequence given by  $x_k = (-1)^k$ 

Then 
$$(A_t x)_n = (1 - t_n) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (t_n)^k (-1)^k$$
  
 $= (1 - t_n) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-t^n)^k$   
 $= (1 - t_n) \frac{1}{1 + t_n}$   
 $(A x) = \frac{1 - t_n}{1 - t_n}$ 

$$(A_t x)_n = \frac{1 t_n}{1 + t_n} \Longrightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} (A_t x)_n = 0; \text{ hence } A_t x \hat{1} C$$

**<u>Remark 2</u>**: Thee  $A_t$  matrix maps also <u>a divergent sequence x</u> into a convergent sequence as shown by the following example.

**Example 2:** Consider the unbounded sequence given by  $X_k = (-1)^k (k+1)$ . Note that

$$(A_t x)_n = \sum_{k=0}^{4} (1 - t_n) t_n^k (-1)^k (k+1)$$
  
=  $(1 - t_n) \sum_{k=0}^{4} t_n^k (-1)^k (k+1)$   
=  $(1 - t_n) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-t_n)^k (k+1)$   
=  $\frac{1 - t_n}{(1 + t_n)^2}$ 

Now,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} (A_t x)_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1 - t_n}{(1 + t_n)^2} = 0$ 

Hence  $A_t x \in c$ .

International Educative Research Foundation and Publisher © 2016

**Definition:** A matrix A is an x-y matrix if the image Au of u under the transformation A is in Y wherever u is in x.

# **Knopp-Lorentz**

The Matrix A is an  $\ell - \ell$  matrix if and only if there exists a number M > 0 such that for every k,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{4} |a_{nk}| \in M.$$

Theorem 2: 
$$A_t$$
 is  $\ell - \ell \Leftrightarrow (1 - t) \hat{1} \ell$ 

Lemma 1:

$$A_t \ell - \ell_{\text{matrix}} \mathsf{P} (1-t) \hat{\mathsf{I}} \ell$$

**<u>Proof:</u>** We use the Knopp-Lorentz Rule.

$$\begin{aligned} A_{t_{\text{is}}} \ell - \ell \mathsf{P} &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \leq M_{\text{for each } k} \\ \mathsf{P} & \underset{n=0}{\overset{\texttt{¥}}{\texttt{a}}} |(1 - t_n) t_n^k| \leq M \\ \mathsf{P} & \underset{n=0}{\overset{\texttt{¥}}{\texttt{a}}} |(1 - t_n)| \leq M_{\text{(for } k=0)} \\ \mathsf{P} & (1 - t) \hat{\mathsf{I}} \ell \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2:

$$1 - t \hat{l} \ell \mathsf{P} A_{t_{\text{is an}}} \ell - \ell_{\text{matrix}}$$

**<u>Proof:</u>** We use the Knopp-Lorentz Rule

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| = \bigotimes_{n=0}^{4} |(1-t_n)t_n^k|$$
  
$$\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1-t_n) \leq M \quad \text{for some M>0 as} (1-t) \hat{1} \quad \ell.$$

Now Theorem 2 follows by Lemmas 1&2.

**Corollary 1.** If  $A_t$  is an l-l matrix and  $0 < t_n < w_n < 1$ , then  $A_w$  is also an l-l matrix.

**Proof:**  $0 < t_n < w_n < 1 \Rightarrow (1 - w_n) < (1 - t_n)$  and hence the corollary follows by Theorem 1.

**Corollary 2.**  $A_t$  is an l-l matrix  $\Leftrightarrow$  arcsint  $\in l$ 

Proof: The corollary follows by Theorem 1 using the basic inequality

$$x < \arcsin x < \frac{x}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}} \text{ for } 0 < x < 1$$

 $A x \in I$ 

**Remark 3.** An 1-1  $A_t$  matrix maps <u>a bounded sequence</u> into l as shown by the following example. This shows that the  $A_t$  matrix is stronger than the identity matrix in the *l*-*l* setting or l(A) is larger than *l*. **Example 3**.

Assume  $A_t$  matrix is an *l*-*l* and consider the bounded sequence given by  $x_k = (-1)^k$ . We want to show

that 
$$T_{t}x \in t$$
.  
Then  $(A_{t}x)_{n} = (1 - t_{n}) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (t_{n})^{k} (-1)^{k}$   
 $= (1 - t_{n}) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-t^{n})^{k}$   
 $= (1 - t_{n}) \frac{1}{1 + t_{n}}$   
 $\leq (1 - t_{n})$ 

Now  $A_t$  matrix is  $1-l \Rightarrow (1-t) \in 1$ , by Theorem 2, and hence  $A_t x \in l$ .

**<u>Remark 4:</u>** An l-l  $A_t$  matrix maps unbounded sequence into l as shown by the following example.

**Example 4:** Assume  $A_t$  matrix is *l-l* and consider the unbounded sequence given by  $X_k = (-1)^k (k+1)$ . Note that

$$(A_t x)_n = \sum_{k=0}^{4} (1 - t_n) t_n^k (-1)^k (k+1)$$
$$= (1 - t_n) \sum_{k=0}^{4} t_n^k (-1)^k (k+1)$$

International Educative Research Foundation and Publisher © 2016

$$= (1 - t_n) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-t_n)^k (k+1)$$
  
=  $\frac{1 - t_n}{(1 + t_n)^2}$   
 $\leq (1 - t_n)$ 

Now  $A_t$  matrix is  $1-l \Rightarrow (1-t) \in 1$ , by Theorem 2, and hence  $A_t x \in l$ .

**Remark 5:** Every sequence x for which  $|x_k|^{\frac{1}{k}} \le 1$  belongs to  $l(A_t)$  provided  $A_t$  is an 1-1 matrix.

Example 5. Let  $x_n = (-3)^{n}$ . Then x is not in l(A). Note that  $|x_k|^{\frac{1}{k}} = 3 > 1$ 

# References

- Mulatu Lemma, *<u>The Abel-type transformations into </u>l*, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences (1999) Volume: 22, Issue: 4, page 775-784 ISSN: 0161-1712
- Mulatu Lemma, *Logarithmic and Abel-Type Transformations into Gw* Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics;2010, Vol. 34 Issue 2, p299